

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 12-157

---

Complainant:	No. 1321610810A
Judge:	No. 1321610810B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged that a superior court commissioner refused to respond to his claim that the court violated his legal and constitutional due process rights.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and various court records, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal issues in the complainant's case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 25, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 25, 2012.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2012-157

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: \_\_\_\_\_

Judge's name: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 6-3-12

**Instructions:** You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

On 3-20-12 I informed Com. that I had entered a motion for clearance on records in 2007 in regards to CR2004 and CR 2005. I complained that it was an ex-post facto and Due process violation to have my DNA in any Arresting agencies Databases, because those cases were deemed invalid and illegal after they were vacated. The state has collected and disseminated my DNA to arresting Agencies and has violated my Constitutional rights presenting my DNA to the Grand Jury in case # 2011. I stated that in exercise of my rights as a victim under the "Bill of rights act" I never consented for my DNA to be release to anyone. These cases were vacated (#CR2004 and CR 2005) in lieu of a concession of error in 2008. Mr. \_\_\_\_\_ did nothing. He said if my speedy trial did not commence by 4-4-12 then I could make some noise well it's 6-3-12 and I stated on record to Mr. \_\_\_\_\_ that I wanted to exercise my right to a speedy trial. He dismissed a hearing motion for complex case allowance and the next court date my case was made complex-even though in 1993 D.O. of alleged occurrence of crime in case CR2011 there was no "Complex case Designation". This clearly is an ex post facto and Due Process violation.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)