

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 12-180

Complainant:

Judge:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a pro tem justice of the peace prejudged a case and denied a party the opportunity to be heard.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission decided to dismiss this matter with a private advisory to ensure the right of all parties to be heard before ruling. The case is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(b) and 23(a).

Dated: December 4, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Louis Frank Dominguez

Louis Frank Dominguez
Commission Chair

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 4, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

2012-180
JUL 02 2012

To the Attention of Judicial Conduct Reviewers

I would like to file an official complaint against
Pro temp Judge Justice of Peace by the name of
who works at

Justice Court at

AZ,

I was a defendant of Case # 2012.

Plaintiff was Mr

who is a City Personnel
who laid me off and filed

with City of

injunction of Hamas me against me that was
heard on 6/21/2012 at 8:30 am.

Judge

didn't allow me to present

documents or emails or voice mails or witnesses
that I brought for the case. She had prejudged
the case 4 days earlier when I spoke to her

and before the hearing she told me that she will
grant Nicholas his injunction and deny mine.
I believe Mrs

rights didn't allow me to speak or ask Plaintiff
any question or present my case or witnesses

When I tried to speak to her she refused to listen
and forced me out of Courtroom violating my human
right, she finished 2 injunctions in 1 morning.

I live at

Rd

AZ

Please get back with me. Thanks Ad & Edward.

Mrs [Name] is very biased, unfair and she took the side of the Plaintiff and decided even before she listened to me. When I filed also one injunction against

Mr [Name] she granted his injunction and denied mine. She also granted 2 other locations that Mr [Name] doesn't go to at all just to please him and she included 5 of his family members that I have never seen in my life nor do I want to see.

Mrs [Name] when she saw assistant City Clerk [Name] sitting in the Court room she denied me my right to ask ~~a~~ Plaintiff questions or present witnesses or put him on the stand to prove that I never harassed him. There is a recording for the hearing which I beg you to review and make sure ethics were followed. I am a minority person US Citizen of the Public who was a victim of Mrs [Name] Decision despite the fact that I never harassed the Plaintiff or communicated with him.

I am ready, to submit documents to you
and email and voice mail from HK
Director at the City to
proof that Mrs was abused.
Also when I requested from Court a copy of
the petition recording to find out how it
was originally granted to him the Court
to deny advised me that there is no copy.
The funny thing is that at the end of the
10 minute hearing Mrs Goldus both
not to talk or come close to each other
so I asked her if she will grant both injunctions
or deny both. She Goldus that she will
Grant Mr injunction and deny mine
I believe ethics, fairness and civil rights
of myself were violated and I was informed
that Mrs is a judge of peace who doesn't
have any experience in this type of cases.
Please investigate, rescue the public from
unfairness and abuse of human right.
Thank you very much

Tel.