

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 12-194

Complainant: No. 1447110033A

Judge: No. 1447110033B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge made rulings in a dissolution case based on contributions to his reelection campaign and favoritism toward the attorney representing the opposing party.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and the judge's response, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 29, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 29, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Attached page # 1

I am filling out this complaint form because of the behavior I witnessed by Judge Mohave Court system in Bullhead City was appalling . This Judge giving the respondent temporary custody of the 2 children indicates to me his decision was based on several choices , he provided a favor to the respondents attorney because of their current working relationship - AKA the "Good old boys Club" violating the petitioner of her rights to a fair hearing since she has no legal counsel to represent her , due to the financial hardship caused by the respondent for the past 12 years . The Judge may have also received a financial bonus from the respondents Mother

has boosted that an elderly women she provides care for has paid for the respondents legal costs , holds power of Attorney over this elderly women's bank accounts . She has boosted of this women's wealth which concern's me to believe this elderly women may also have a friendship with the Judge which would effect this case.

If your office gets a copy of this case you will see that the Petitioner has done everything that the Judge requested of her , she moved back to Arizona to a safe house with her children because of a protection order she has against the respondent , The few months she lived in California the respondent never paid a dime to support his children , she worked with the Judge to set up visitations for the respondent , the respondent then refused to return the children back to her after the last noted visit . Even after the petitioner notified the courts the respondent did not cooperate with the courts scheduled visitations . You will read in these court minutes that the Judge stated that it is obvious the Mother has been the primary care giver of the children from birth and that the father has no experience raising these children because of his occupation and the fact that he is only home 15 or so days out of the year .

A few weeks after the Judge had done a video taped interview with the children , by the request of the Mother , the Judge decided to give the respondent temporary custody .The Judge held no hearing to explain his decision . Which brings me to the conclusion that this court room is corrupted by the above mentioned reasons .Why would a Judge give custody to a father that has no life skills , no financial skills and no parenting skills ? He also has a severe temper & uses his size to intimidate others when he is angry , The respondent can not cook and refuses to clean up after himself let only after his own children , so what would the children's daily life situation be like with the respondent. It has also been demonstrated by the petitioner that the respondent has a severe gambling addiction for many years and it is because of this that she and her children have been emotional and financially abused for many years . Then to insult the situation even more the petitioner was ordered to pay child support with a part time minimum wage job ? The respondent has never paid support , period .

Common sense dictates a different out come in this case , what will become of these children when the respondents addiction to Gambling gets the better of him like it has in the past and he abandons his children as he has in the past ? Will Mohave Courts explain their mistake and apologize to the Petitioner and her children?

The Petitioner in her court documents demonstrated a 12 to 13 year timeline of events that this Judge seemed to ignore in order to fulfill a favor to a fellow judicial friend ? Violating the petitioners civil rights to a fair hearing in a Mohave court room . She can not afford legal counsel to assist her .

I have reviewed your website and can see that your office is unwilling to find Judge's guilty of misconduct , so I have decided to send this complaint on to several other agencies to document this travesty so that others will know how Mohave Courts handle their court cases .

Thank you for your time .