

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 12-216

---

Complainant: No. 1436910629A

Judge: No. 1436910629B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged that his attorney and a pro tem superior court judge conspired to find him incompetent to stand trial.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and several minute entries available online, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 7, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 7, 2012.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

CONFIDENTIAL

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

2012-218

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your Name

Judge's Name:

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

I HAVE SERVED MY TIME IN A HOUSE OF JUSTICE, BUT YET THERE IS NO JUSTICE FOR ME,

THERE IS NO REASON WHY I SHOULD BE ON RULE 11 GOING THROUGH RULE 11 COURT. I AM NOT CRAZY, I KNOW WHAT A TRIAL IS. I'VE EXPLAINED THIS TIME AND TIME AGAIN-WHAT A LAWYER, PROSECUTOR, AND JUDGE DOES IN A TRIAL SETTING. I BEEN IN THREE OTHER TRIALS BEFORE, SO HOW ALL OF A SUDDEN AM I NOT COMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL. BUT FOR SOME STRANGE REASON OR ANOTHER I WAS COMPETENT THEN. HOW IS THAT? CAN ONE OF YOUR DOCTOR WHO IS DIAGNOISING ME AS CRAZY KINDLY EXPLAIN THIS TO ME, PLEASE. THEN HOW ARE YOU OR A DOCTOR SUGGESTING I'M NOT COMPETENT ONE MINUTE. THEN WILL EVENTUALLY TURN AROUND AND SAY I'M COMPETANT LATER ON DOWN THE LINE. AN IF YOU SAY ITS BECAUSE OF MY ATTORNEY IS THE ONE WHO SUGGESTED THIS FUNNY-BUSINESS. THEN IT JUST COLLABORATES EVEN MORE COINCIDENTALLY HOW MIRACULOUSLY THE JUDGE, AND THE LAWYER ARE IN CAHOOTS ON WHAT THEY FEEL BASED OUT OF PUBLIC SENTIMENT. THE LAWYER OR THE JUDGE IS NOT GOING TO CARE ABOUT MY MENTALS ONCE THEY FIND ME RESTORABLE, AND IT COMES TIME FOR SENTENCING. I WISH YOU ALL STOP INSULTING MY INTELLIGENCE. NO MATTER WHAT-I'M READY TO GO TO TRIAL. SO CONVERSE WITH YOUR DOCTORS, AND EVERY BDDY ELSE FROM THE STATE. AND TELL THEM LETS GO. I'M READY FOR

(Attach additional sheets as needed.)

TRIAL. WHAT ARE WE WAITING FOR? IT SEEMS LIKE YOU'RE STALLING ME UNDER THE GROUNDS OF NOT BEING COMPETENT. IN ORDER TO TECHNICALLY HAVE AN EXCUSE TO HAVE A LEGAL REASON TO VIOLATE MY CONSTITUTIONAL DUE PROCESS RIGHT TO A SPEEDY TRIAL. I DID NOT ASK FOR RULE 11. MY LAWYER DECEIVED ME IN COURT IN COURTROOM. WAS SOME TYPE OF STRATEGY HE WAS GOING TO USE UNTIL WE FOUND GOOD ENOUGH DOCTORS TO SHOW THE SIDE EFFECTS OF WHAT THE DRUG THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE HAD IN HER SYSTEM. AND HOW INSTEAD OF BEING A SUSPECT IN THIS CASE - I SHOULD BE THE VICTIM. OTHER THAN THAT I CAN'T EXPLAIN WHY ALL OF A SUDDEN I'M SO PSYCHO - TO WHERE I DON'T UNDERSTAND THINGS. I UNDERSTAND THIS THOUGH - I REMEMBER GOING TO TRIAL IN 2007 FOR AN FRIVOLOUS CASE OF ASSAULT. AND ALSO WAS CHARGED WITH UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT (WITH AT THAT TIME GIRLFRIEND) JUST LIKE I'M UNLAWFULLY IMPRISONED CURRENTLY. BECAUSE YOU SEE ALL THE SIGNS AROUND YOU. YOU KNOW (45) I GOT CONVICTED OF AN LESSER INCLUDED SENTENCE, AND SINCE I WAS ON PROBATION ALREADY BEFORE THAT, ON A PROPOSITION 2002 CASE (THAT I DID DO ON THAT PARTICULAR SEQUENCE) AFTER THE CASE WAS DECIDED BETWEEN THE YOUNG LADY AND I. OF ME SUSPOSEDLY KIDNAPPING HER WHEN IN FACT ALL IT WAS; WAS A MUTUAL ARGUMENT - THAT WAS ABSOLUTELY NOT SERIOUS AT ALL TO TAKE TO TRIAL. TO PROVE THAT - THE JUDGE OVERLOOKING THE ISSUE AFTER THE VERDICT GAVE MY P.O. THE O.K. TO ALLOW ME TO CONTINUE MY PROBATION AT THAT RESIDENCE IN WHICH MY P.O. O.K.ED IT AS WELL. SO YOU SEE SOMETHING IS MOST DEFINITELY WRONG WITH THIS JUDICIAL SYSTEM - NOT ME...