

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 12-227

Complainant: No. 1449810864A

Judge: No. 1449810864B

ORDER

The complainant alleged an appointed justice of the peace who is seeking election to the position has a conflict of interest because she holds a degree in criminal justice and is married to a law enforcement officer. The complainant contends the judge is engaging in judicial misconduct because she cannot possibly hear criminal cases impartially.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Parties have the right to seek a change of judge under the rules applicable to justice courts. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 21, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 21, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

AUG 23 2012

14 March 2012

Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: JUDICIAL COMPLAINT: _____, Pro Tem Arrowhead Justice of
Peace Precinct, Disqualification.

Ladies and Gentlemen:

With regard to the above, enclosed is a judicial complaint for this newly appointed justice of peace.

1. Memorandum
2. Email to Maricopa County Commissioners
3. August 22, 2012, Peoria Times website article

From the August 22, 2012, Peoria Times website article Ms. _____ does not grasp 14th Amendment due process disqualification. See the enclosed Memorandum. She is disqualified because of receiving a household income from the DPS and literally in bed with the DPS. And her claiming to have a pure heart and an empty head does not purify her from 14th Amendment disqualification.

Respectfully submitted

/George L. Mothershed