

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 12-245

Complainant: No. 1434410960A

Judge: No. 1434410960B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a former pro tem superior court judge improperly delayed ruling on a motion after previously receiving an advisory from the commission regarding delay.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the former judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant, the commission found insufficient evidence to justify further investigation of the allegation of unethical delay in ruling on one motion. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: October 4, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on October 4, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

SEP 12 2012

SEPTEMBER 6th 2012,

STATE OF ARIZONA

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

PHOENIX AZ. 85

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT against pima county
Superior Court judge
Division LF.

Dear Commission on Judicial Conduct members,

I am submitting this letter to formally lodge another complaint against pima county Superior Court judge because he has once again failed to act in accordance with relevant statutory and constitutional time limits.

I use the terms "another" and "once again" because after judge failed to rule on a total of eighteen (18), motions...

... In the sixty, (60) day time periods mandated by the Arizona Constitution, in cases numbered CR 2010. and CR 2011. , I filed a formal complaint against judge [redacted] that complaint was numbered 12-025.

On May 31, 2012, "after review the commission decided to dismiss" the "matter with a private advisory comment reminding judge of his obligation to comply with relevant statutory and constitutional time limits."

On March 26th, 2012, I filed a motion for impeaching information and brief.

On April 3 the prosecutor on behalf of the state, filed a response to my motion for impeaching information.

On April 16, 2012, I filed my reply to the states response.

As of the date of this formal complaint One Hundred and Sixty Five (165) days has elapsed since the March 26th, 2012, filing.

Obviously judge [redacted] has no respect...

For any of the Reversal mandates he is lawfully obligated to act under.

Even after the "private advisory comment" from this very commission judge has continued to engage in a flagrant pattern and practice of abuse! For this reason, I am formally requesting that this Commission take the appropriate steps to REMOVE judge from his position as a judge.

In closing, I am formally requesting that this commission provide me with photostated copies of all of the correspondence, including this complaint, that I have sent you. Said request is made because it is evidence in and for anticipated civil proceedings.

Thank you for your time and efforts.

VERIFICATION

I, _____, verifies under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 6th day of September, 2012.