

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 12-250

Complainant: No. 1271400390A

Judge: No. 1271400390B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge improperly dismissed his legal claim because of bias against him.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant along with the court records available online, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: October 19, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on October 19, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona
 Commission on Judicial Conduct
 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

2012-250

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name:

Date: 9/17/2012

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

Judge

I believe made a legal error,

A contract has a hand written clause/requirement
and a typed contract clause/requirement that
is something ~~else~~ different, I was under
the impression that the hand written part
of the contract was to be the guide in this
type of situation. I did not have the
\$60,000.00 to appeal his ruling and it bothers
me that in my opinion that I have to
pay because he did not like me,