State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 12-269	
Complainant:		No. 1452710741A
Judge:		No. 1452710741B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge failed to properly consider two motions to change counsel.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant along with several minute entry orders in the case, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 2, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 2, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2012-269

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your Name: Judge's Name:	Date: 9-27-12
Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe comprovide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us us that my counsel that my counsel that my counsel that the Second time to the words.	onstitutes misconduct. Please nt. You can use this form or al pages. Do not write on the understand your complaint.
Suppose to be upheld by the judge AND she	rights are is Letting them
the Second motion, so she violated my assort to Court, Marvin Davis Hasdone me but brake his outh as an Attorn	right, by not
THOCK	ey 5

(Attach additional sheets as needed.)