## State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 12-284	
Complainant:		No. 1418510099A
Judge:		No. 1418510099B

## ORDER

The complainant alleged that five superior court judges and one court of appeals judge engaged in ethical misconduct by failing to recognize the state did not have jurisdiction in his dissolution case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judges engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing all of the information provided by the complainant and case law, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judges did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judges' rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 5, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 5, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

## **CONCLUSION**

When Nancy A. Kelley filed this illegal petition for dissolution of marriage on behalf of on October 15,2008, it was meant to be an assault with the financial annihilation of a very ill senior citizen. On November 10,2008 Mrs. trust attorney Brian Tanko and requested a copy of Mr.

Nancy A. Kelley. They were attempting to take Mr.

Las Vegas house that he bought in 2000 years before meeting Mrs.

They next hired the president of the homeowners association Skye Campbell where Mr.

has resided since 2000 to follow and take pictures of Mr.

walking without his wheelchair that they used in the

County Superior Court to discredit his medical problems. (see Exhibit 29)

Mrs. Kelley and Mrs knew she was not domiciled in Arizona for a day, let alone the 90 day requirement of A.R.S. 25-312 (1) that cannot ever be met, making this case void on the face of it. A court order is not proof of jurisdiction with no supporting evidence. The evidence relied on in this case was a combination of perjured testimony that was proven false in Mr. latest Motion To Void Default Judgment that was denied by judge water-boy judge that termed it a rehash. Judge also simply lied when he stated: "There was no testimony that she had changed her her voter registration from whatever polling precinct where her house was located at to either the polling place where Respondent's house is at in Nevada "A copy of the voter registration was entered as E-7 and Mrs. was questioned. (see Exhibit 14)

Judge had given Mrs. exclusive use of our Arizona house before establishing jurisdiction over this case. When he found out he never had jurisdiction, he tried to manufacture some way of having jurisdiction as Mrs. had successfully locked Mr. out of the Arizona house making it impossible for him to sell the property and then she abandoned the property without notifying Mr. or the mortgage holder. When Bank of America could not find her, they raised my interest rates to 32% on my credit card which all creditors soon followed even though I was never late and never paid minimum payments. With my credit destroyed by an illegal divorce filing by a

Mr. has taken his proof of Mrs. Bank Fraud under 18 U.S.C. Section 1344 to Agent Zatarola the F.B.I., only to find the FBI no longer does crime. Mr. has taken two different complaints to the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, the first was with Mrs. previous husband for gun theft and Metro no longer does crime We were able to furnish what she stole ,who she sold it to and when. Metro nor the ATF does crime any more. The second was to report Mrs. Common Law Fraud of obtaining Mr. signature on a mortgage loan application under false pretenses and Officer Henson of Metro would not allow Mr. to make a complaint.

This strange behavior by law enforcement could only be:

- 1. The United States District Court For The District of Nevada has a majority of Mormon judges.
- 2. The U.S. Attorney and many employees are Mormon.
- 3. The Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department has many Mormons in key positions

People get arrested daily for being drunk in public, shoplifting in Walmart, smoking marijuana, selling water by the bottle on the Las Vegas Strip,and trespassing. Yet, public officials aid and abet a criminal that has defrauded two banks of a total of \$910,000.00. Why does this happen? I have even done their work, all the FBI needs to do is carry my paperwork to the U.S. Attorney because the U.S. Attorney says they can't accept my charges directly, I must go through the FBI.. Because I believe this church is actually trying to overthrow the U.S. government which would then fall to The Department of Homeland Security . A Quick call to the Homeland Security office finally solved my problem! They instructed me to get in touch with local law enforcement!

Our biggest threat is not from any foreign country, it is from Salt Lake City, Utah and our media and government are not bright enough to even investigate the problem before it is too late. Perhaps when the rest of the country has their civil rights violated which they will, maybe I will have mine restored.