

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 12-286

Complainant: No. 1454010620A

Judge: No. 1454010620B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a pro tem justice of the peace improperly vacated a previously issued injunction against harassment.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and the electronic case history, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 5, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 5, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

2012-288

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: _____

Judge's name: _____

Date: 10/18/2012

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

My complaint is on Oct 5 2012
 Judge _____ accepted my Injunction Against
 Harassment on _____
 Failed to Show-up for court.
 Judge _____ Awarded me the Injunction.
 On Oct 18 the judge gives a dismissal
 on the Injunction. When Injunction
 should be still granted. I proved
 in court _____ was and
 is harassing me, he also admitted
 to the Judge he was harassing
 me, Also I provided photos of
 stalking in a harassing
 way (he had road rage). The judge didn't
 provide a court reporter to read
 back lines _____ committed
 in court. Why the judge ruled
 in the defendant favor is ~~stupid~~ when
 the order should of been continue
 due to the fact I was granted
 the Injunction on Oct 5. It was
 disrespectful for _____
 miss that court date and it was ~~disrespectful~~
 for the judge to allow another court
 date, His excuse was his car broke
 down yet there was no mechanic to
 verify it. I would like to have a new
 Injunction on _____

not
testable

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

That same night

2012-288
son

~~was~~ violated his court order injunction

I have on his son.

had ~~to~~ ^{his son} followed me to Wet-mart
case number

Vina
Police
Net

to verbally harassing me.

The judge wasn't professional and she

didn't even know what third party

means. I should of had a continue

Injunction ^{Against} ~~of~~ Harassment on

And would ~~not~~ request a new

court date ~~on~~ or for you to

overturn Judge's decision.

~~the~~ She willful and persistent failure
to perform her duties