

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 12-322

Complainant: No. 1315610510A

Judge: No. 1315610510B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge assisted the prosecutor and defense counsel in violating his legal rights, improperly failed to recuse herself from his case, and made various erroneous rulings.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and the available electronic record, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 28, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 28, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Commission of judicial conduct

11/23/12

→ would like to file a complaint on Superior Court judge maricopa county judge. had ruled that the translators transcripts were not to go to the jury when they deliberate because they weren't done by a certified translator. Well after the jury came back with a verdict the bailiff brought the exhibits back into the court room and → saw the transcripts! meaning the jury had them! She deliberately let the jury have the transcripts to sabotage the case. As → filed numerous complaints on her for throwing the case to the state. But you guys did nothing so → had to sue her, and she kept on retaliating against me because → sued her. But she wouldn't recuse herself or my attorney or my prosecutor who → had also sued because she was on a mission to make sure → was found guilty when → was not. This alone is a violation of ethics. Case law is quite clear about when a judge or attorney are bound to recuse themselves. This was not a lawsuit for a car accident that happen years ago that they could say there's no conflict. → sued

them because she with my attorney doing her dirty work were blatantly throwing my trial to the state with disregard of my Rights and her ethics.

My attorney even told me she hates me before! Rightfully so I sued her. But she should of recused herself.

You guys should of made her.
To make matters worse my attorney said if I played phone calls all the ones I wanted to play to contradict the state's witness that judge would make me go Pro Sec. Knowing I get nervous talking in front of people because my attorney wasn't going to help me. She Blackmailed me! Those phone calls were crucial to my case! She allowed witnesses that weren't on the witness list. Then an inmate came forward who went to court with the states main informant and he told this inmate a complete different story and he made an affidavit judge read it and instead of having this inmate come in as my life is on the line she doesn't allow my attorney to bring him in! She is beyond politically motivated! You guys are suppose to be the

Legal

2012-322

ones to hold everyone accountable! Please
stop turning a blind eye!

I want this investigated promptly.

Thank You.