

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 12-327

Complainant: No. 1456910922A

Judge: No. 1456910922B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge unreasonably delayed a ruling and entered a punitive child support order.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and the available electronic record, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 28, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 28, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2012-327

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

On February 23, 2011 case _____ with _____
proceeding began, a motion to dismiss for lack
of jurisdiction was filed on April 4, 2011. In a pretrial
conference Judge _____ ruled that she would consult
with the German Court requesting that the Arizona Court
be given jurisdiction of the minor 3 boys in this
case. In an email correspondence the German court
declined the request on September 26, 2011. Judge
did not issue a minute until January 13, 2012 which
I did not receive until January 17, 2012 via email
from my attorney Gary Doyle. This receipt was just
24 hours prior to the trial set on January 18, 2012. Judge
having the needed information on September 26, 2011
had failed to make a decision from September 26, 2011 until
January 13, 2012 over 3 months which cause additional
stress and expense as additional motions were
filed to obtain a decision. During the child support
phase of the trial Judge _____ issued support for
the 3 minor girls living in Arizona with their mother
without regard to the financial responsibility of the
father to the 3 minor boys in this case. In addition
there was no income verification for either party.
This appears to be punitive punishment placed on
the father rather than fair and equitable
support for the children.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)