

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 12-329

---

Complainant: No. 1430810842A

Judge: No. 1430810842B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge violated his constitutional rights by, among other things, knowingly allowing an invalid plea agreement to be entered.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and the available electronic record, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 28, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 28, 2012.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

**CONFIDENTIAL**

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

2012-329

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

**Instructions:** Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

The judge continued what he knew or should have known was a highly unconstitutional case. Both AZ. constitution but more righted so United States Constitutional Judicial power intentionally abused. Violating my United States citizen (#number 600-92-7225) rights held me to answer to a felony when by law the case is a misdemeanor. Making me stay in custody for over 130 days with a \$3,800.00 bail. This Commissioner's every intent was to violate every given civil right of citizen.

Also making the only means of life liberty and safety as an exchange to signing of a plea agreement. Commissioner Judicial misconduct extends to what he knew or should have known was by law an invalid plea agreement. The plea agreement was expired and therefore void. Commissioner at any point of his cumulative errors, mistakes, or abuse of judicial authority inverted/intrusted to him could've exercised judicial privilege. Confronting the plea agreement by reviewing it before court proceedings, highlighting to defense, prosecuting counsel, and conferring between both parties.