

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 12-351

---

Complainant: No. 1458310346A

Judge: No. 1458310346B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge disrespected him in court and threatened to have him force-medicated if he did not cooperate with counsel and the court.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and the available electronic record, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: January 31, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on January 31, 2013.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2012-351

## COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your Name

Judge's Name:

Date: 12-02-2012

**Instructions:** Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

MY ATTORNEY HAD SENT ME A PHOTO OF A GREAT WHITE SHARK SNATCHING A MILITARY MAN OUT OF THE SKY ALL BECAUSE I ASKED FOR MY DISCOVERY AND THE JUDGE SAID/GIGGLED AND TOLD MY LAWYER TO QUIT INTAGENIZING THE DEFENDANT, MY JUDGE ALSO THREATEN TO FORCE MEDICATE ME TO GET ME TO COOPERATE WITH THE ATTORNEY AND COURTS. THIS HAPPEN BETWEEN JULY 24 2012 - OCTOBER 28, 2012, ITS ILLEGAL TO COERCE A COFESSION OR COOPERATION OUT OF DEFENDANT BY MEANS OF SEDATION OR HYPNOSIS.

PERSUAN TO CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT RULE.81 IT PROVIDES UNDER RULE.2.3 BIAS, PREJUDICE AND HARRASSMENT (A) A JUDGE SHALL PERFORM THE DUTIES OF JUDICIAL OFFICE, INCLUDING ADMINISTRATIVE DUTIES WITHOUT BIAS, OR PREJUDICE. (B) A JUDGE "SHALL NOT" IN THE PERFORMANCE OF JUDICIAL DUTIES BY WORDS OF CONDUCT MANIFEST BIAS, OR PREJUDICE OR ENGAGING INTO HARRASSMENT.

RULE 2.6 ENSUREING THE RIGHT TO BE HEARD.

(A) A JUDGE "SHALL" AFFORD TO EVERY PERSON WHO HAS A LEGAL INTEREST IN A PROCEEDING, OR THAT PERSONS LAWYER, THE RIGHT TO BE HEARD ACCORDING TO LAW. (B) A JUDGE MAY ENCOURAGE PARTIES TO A PROCEEDING AND THEIR LAWYERS TO SETTLE MATTERS IN DISPUTE, BUT SHALL NOT COERCE ANY PARTY INTO SETTLEMENT. THE JUDGES CONDUCT AND ACTIVITIES LISTED ABOVE VIOLATES RULE'S. 81 § 2.3, AND § 2.6. IT SHOULD BE NOTED ALL THIS HAPPEN OFF THE RECORD.