

State of Arizona Supreme Court
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-024

Judge:	No. 1409910739A
Complainant:	No. 1409910739B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge improperly rejected her motion for relief from a judgment.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and the available electronic court records, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: April 4, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 4, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

FEB 06 2013

2013-024

FEBRUARY 7, 2013

TO: COMMISSION OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT

FROM:

RE:

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN;

ON JULY 10, 2012 I MAILED A MOTION TO
RELIEVE PLAINTIFF FROM THE COURT'S
JANUARY 19, 2012 FINAL JUDGMENT TO
THE CLERK OF THE MADISON COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT, JUDGE AND ATTORNEY
GENERAL'S OFFICE.

ON AUGUST 15, 2012, THE STATE FILED A
MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION NOTIFYING
THE COURT THAT THE MOTION HAD NOT
BEEN FILED AND ASKED WHETHER OR NOT
THE STATE WAS REQUIRED TO FILE A
RESPONSE,

AS A PRECAUTION, ON JULY 27, 2012 I
MAILED ANOTHER COPY OF THE MOTION
TO THE CLERK. FOR WHATEVER REASON,
THE FIRST COPY WAS FILED ON JULY 27,
2012 AND DECKETED ON AUGUST 23, 2012.
THE SECOND COPY WAS FILED ON AUGUST
27, 2012 AND DECKETED ON SEPTEMBER 7, 2012.

ON NOVEMBER 1, 2012, THE HONORABLE
DENIED THIS MOTION IN
PART AS UNTIMELY STATING THAT IT WAS
FILED AUGUST 27, 2012 AFTER THE SIX
MONTHS REQUIREMENT OF RULE 60(C).
THIS WAS ABSOLUTELY INCORRECT. THIS
MOTION WAS FIRST FILED ON JULY 27, 2012.
THEN THE COURT ORDERED PROHIBITING
ME FROM FILING ANY FURTHER DOCUMENTS
UNDER CV. 2010. I HAVE
ENCLOSED A COPY OF THE MINUTE ENTRY.

AS A RESULT, THE CLERK'S OFFICE IS
REFUSING TO FILE MY NOTICE OF APPEAL.
HOW CAN THIS JUDGE PRECLUDE ME FROM
APPEALING HER DECISION? SO, PLEASE
CONSIDER THIS AS A FORMAL COMPLAINT.

SINCERELY,