

State of Arizona Supreme Court
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-025

Judge: No. 1461310764A
Complainant: No. 1461310764B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a municipal court judge made an erroneous decision and was rude.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing all of the information provided by the complaint and listening to the recording of the hearing, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: April 10, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judges on April 10, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2013-025

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name: _

Date: 2-11-13

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

ON 2-7-13 I was in Court to appeal restraining order set by usually either the bailiff or Judge gives instructions on proceedings. This was not done, no physical abuse was done to Ms. only two emails that I wrote brought this restraining order. I had ask to go first to inform Judge that I agree to no contact but needed order lifted for my employment. The Judge was very obnoxious & obtuse and pointed his finger & yelled at me to shut up. Ms. had physically abuse me & verbal abuse me in public which witnesses saw her actions yet I was not given the right to explain. The Court had began at 2:35pm Court was 3:00 pm. If I had been given instructions now the proceeding would take place I would never had asked. Also Ms. was holding my daughter un lawfully and Violating our case agreement in Family Court. I was on the Stand for 17 seconds and not given a chance to defend myself. My rights was violated and the Judge did everything in his power to belittle me. He cut me short on facts fabricated by Miss and did not have a chance to counter with my evidence.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

I am a Health Manager for the State of Arizona I research and provide data for Congress and legislation; I know federal and state law. The issue with me and Ms. Anderson goes back 15 years where I have been hit, and thrown out of residence several times. Ms. Anderson in past has been on Zoloft and fabricated on order, my 13 year old daughter was also harassed by her mother and Judge Doyle refused to hear new evidence the letters written by me was out of line I agree but there is no history of domestic violence when Ms. Anderson has with her ex-husband, ex-husbands wife, Ms. Anderson sister, and abusive to her mother.

The order is catastrophic to my career being a government employee, also prior to being served Ms. Anderson had asked me to fix her desktop and printer when I had not spoken to her in two months and had never entered her residence which was key evidence that Judge Doyle gave no concern. Judge Doyle was abusive and threatening and he used every ounce of power to demean a person.

This is not what the law is I deal with law everyday regarding HIPPA as part of my job; As a reference of character RET Judge Richard Trujillo can defend my character as a person and what my intentions are. When the verdict was finalized Doyle in an abusive and unprofessional manner ordered me to leave the court room. Also the judge had threatened me to throw me in jail for contempt of court for sighing. This is abusive behavior and a violation of civil and human rights regardless of what case it is the judge was out of line and I am sure he does it on a regular basis. A person dignity should not be stripped because he may have made a mistake by asking a question.

David Christopher Ramirez

Health Manager

HealthCheck Program

Bureau of Health Systems Development

150 N. 18th Avenue, 300

Phoenix, Arizona 85007

(602) 542-2905