

State of Arizona Supreme Court
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-029

Judge:	No. 1461511004A
Complainant:	No. 1461511004B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a justice of the peace unfairly denied his request for a continuance.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and the available electronic court records, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: April 4, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 4, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2013-029

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name:

Date: 2-19-13

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

Judge _____ refused reasonable continuance date for case despite attached documentation regarding my multiple surgeries and lack of income via FMLA during 6 week recovery noted in repeated requests.

Judge _____ has forced me to go against doctor's orders to advocate a case that is non-urgent and can wait. I am forced to drive in peril at Judge's insistence.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)