

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-041

Judge:	No. 1462510229A
	No. 1462510229B
Complainant: Reginald Watson	No. 1462510229A

ORDER

The complainant alleged a justice of the peace was biased against him because he is not an attorney and was not represented by counsel.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission reviewed the complaint, the judge's response, and the recording of the hearing and found that the judge complained about did not hear the case. The commission further concluded that the pro tem judge who heard the case did not violate the Code in this matter. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: May 24, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judges on May 24, 2013

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL
State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

JUDGE DID NOT RETURN
THE C.O.P. COMPLAINT
HE ALSO DIDN'T
READ THAT
BOOK DID NOT GIVE ME A SECURITY
STAMP

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2013-041

COMPLAINT AGAINST

Your name: _____

Judge's name: _____

Date: 3/11/13

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

I AM NOT A LAWYER, SO NOTHING I SAID WAS TRUE. THE LAWYER FOR THE RENTER DID NOT TELL THE TRUTH, BUT HE WAS ACCEPTED. I TOLD THE JUDGE TO GIVE ME A PLOYGRAM TEST AND I WAS NOT ACCEPTED AS TELLING THE TRUTH. YOU CAN CHECK THE RECORDS FOR ME BACK TO WHEN I WAS EIGHTEEN. I HAVE NEVER HAD A CRIMINAL RECORD. I TOLD THE JUDGE AND GAVE HIM THE COMPLAINT FORM THE CITY OF PHOENIX. I TOLD HIM I WAS GOING TO GET FINDED BY THE CITY OF PHOENIX FOR SOMETHING I HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH. IT WAS IN MY RENTAL PROPERTY SO I WAS GOING TO FINDED. I WENT OVER ON 2/16/13 TO TELL THEM ABOUT THIS IT WAS MAILED TO ME ON 2/15/13 ON 2/17/13 I WAS GOING TO FRY'S AND THEY WERE IN THE FRONT YARD AT 5:00.

THEY SAID THEY WERE GOING TO CLEAN UP THE CARPORT THAT AFTERNOON THIS WAS NOT TRUTH, IT WAS NOT CLEANED UP ON MONDAY 2/18/13. IT WAS NOT CLEANED UP ON TUESDAY 2/19/13. I CAME BACK INTO TUESDAY NIGHT AND STOPPED TO SEE WHY THE CARPORT WAS NOT CLEANED UP. I KNOCKED ON THE THEI DOOR CAME OUT WITH A BASEBALL BAT VIBRATING IT AT ME. I HAVE NEVER BEEN THREDDEN IN MY LIFE AND I AM 64 YEARS OLD

(Attach additional sheets as needed)