

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-077

Judge:	No. 1363600378A
	No. 1363600378A
Complainant:	No. 1363600378B

ORDER

The complainant alleged two superior court commissioners have violated his rights in an ongoing child custody case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioners engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioners did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: May 9, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on May 9, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Hate crimes and extortion schemes at County Superior Court legal processes.

Father 1:

Children had their childhood destroyed by a County Superior Court neglecting the father's legal right to protect from exposure to drug addition and self injection activities as seen by the children. (court used this case as the means to award substantial funds to attorneys and to elongate the process depriving the right of the father to protect. (this was a 5 year process of battles with the court and its gender preferencing). No recourse was give to this father. The children are now involved in drugs as they had observed it with the mother.

Father 2: was attorney who misled her own client due to gender hate.

Father was stabbed by mother with a knife and police and ambulance responded with hospital record and court ignored clear evidence of mother's long history of metal instability. Court destroyed two children's childhood by limiting the father and his legal right to protect and rewarded attorneys who helped with its agenda of hate crimes.

Father 3:

Court maneuvered the child's number of days such that mother could receive substantial child support. The father in this case has less income and mother had a stable job with substantial income. The father had no record of child abuse or neglect, nor was there any domestic abuse. So there was no reason why mother was given substantial number of days such to benefit the mother through the use of their

There are more fathers but I believe I have covered the scope of what I am presenting to you .

Father 4:

I am not prophetic but based on my case history of observation of my case with maxwell being placed in the same room and under same leadership as the new presiding judge , I expect further disgruntled retaliation to continue and my child's life to be placed in harms with again as the court never corrected the repeated attacks against me and my daughter.

Attached this the and forward is a third round of continued and perpetuating attacks against me to deprive me of my right to due process and through legal mean to protect my daughter from harm and eminent danger.

This is the second documented theft through fraud and corruption and child endangerment for financial benefit to the County Superior Court and attorneys. Totaling financial impact on me plus forced bankruptcy on record. I am unable to protect my child through legal means at pima county superior court.

Leadership at prior (2012- Jan 2013) criminal conduct:

support petition filed and court did not respond to the timely filed petition 11/20/2012 currently I am being forced to pay fraudulent based support well outside the guideline) ignored child

Leadership at prior (2011- Jan 2012) criminal conduct:

attached complaint on corrupt trial process) ; the risks to minor child -

Leadership at prior (2011- Jan 2012) criminal conduct:

He complained that father should not get custody and perpetrated case elongation and vacating emergency custody- attached complaint on risks)

Leadership at prior (2009-2011) criminal conduct:

and forced 50/50 custody visitation for child support benefits - attached complaint on risks)

Leadership at prior (2008-2009) criminal conduct: (original leadership body)

complaint filed with (retired after of 12k order)

Leadership at prior (2007-2008) criminal conduct: (original leadership body)

I am sending this on behalf of Fathers' Rights as well.

I respectfully and strongly object to one of your committee members on the announcement and her ability to honorably protect a child's future in this county as reviewer on the commissioner application review committee. The committee member being objected to is [redacted]. I understand that [redacted] is the supervising Judge of [redacted]. [redacted] is responsible for pacing and maneuvering my case over the past 5 years and attacking my daughter's right to have a stable childhood upbringing. And further, [redacted] placing my daughter in danger in 2010 as my daughter was subsequently lost on [redacted] and late at night, this, after [redacted] ordered visitation change to 50/50 and ignoring the evidence of the mother having unstable employment, unstable residence, CPS abuse record, residing with registered child sex offender. All of these are outlined in the attached! [redacted] was further involved in contacting and interfering with the Custody Evaluation process in 2007 and again in 2012. I believe due to association and failure to hold accountable, both the [redacted] should not be involved in selecting or adding their personal opinion on JVC commissioner application reviews. JVC and downtown Family court is the last line of protection for our children in this county. Therefore [redacted] should be removed from this committee. As the removal would be appropriate to protect the honorable decision making related to the best interest, safety and well-being of our children in this county.