

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-082

Judge:	No. 0308110250A
Complainant:	No. 0308110250B

ORDER

A municipal court judge voluntarily reported administrative oversights that led to the escape of a prisoner.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the judge, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the matter is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: May 24, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

A copy of this order was mailed to the judge on May 24, 2013

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

April 10, 2013

Commission on Judicial Conduct
Arizona Supreme Court
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Self-reporting of a Violation of the Judicial Canons

Commission:

Enclosed herewith is a copy of my self-imposed letter of reprimand with attachment that has been forwarded to the Human Resources Department for placement in my personnel file. I am further enclosing my post investigative letter of April 5, 2013 to

My two letters to explain the situation and the basis for my self-imposed discipline and this report to the Commission. It would appear that my actions in not appropriately supervising two of my court officers would put in question my competence under Rule 2.5 and my supervisory duties under Rule 2.12 of the Code of Judicial Conduct. As stated in the enclosed letter to the , I am self-reporting this pursuant to the Code of Judicial Conduct Rule 2.15. This self-imposed letter of reprimand is not only pursuant to the Code of Judicial Conduct as set forth above but also the Administrative Regulation Number: 1 issued 9, Rule 3.2 "Written reprimand:" and Administrative Regulation Number: 3 "Employee Conduct" issued January 19, 2011. Specifically, I should have overseen the fixing of the pedestrian door from the sally port to the outside so that the alarm system would have been in proper working order.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

