

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-091

Judge:	No. 1088414665A
Complainant:	No. 1088414665B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a justice of the peace acted unethically by making an erroneous decision in her case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: May 30, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on May 30, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2013-091

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: _____

Judge's name: _____

Date: 4-23-13

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Attach additional pages, as needed. Please describe in your own words what the judge said or did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. To help us understand your concern, be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places where the conduct occurred. Include only copies of original documents or court recordings that are relevant to your allegations. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

I presented evidence that I had brought my vehicle in 4 times for the same thing. Three of those times, the repairs were attempted, but not successful. The fourth time, I was told by the owner of _____ that there was nothing wrong with my vehicle—and never to call again. I never called again. I took him to small claims. _____ lied in his statements he presented on paper, and I proved it. The judge allowed B+H owner to question me for several minutes; but when it was my turn, none of my questions were allowed (as they were not relevant he said) I ask for a return of my money, so I could get it fixed elsewhere. The judge told me that there was no limit on the amount of times I could attempt to fix my vehicle. I said, what if it took 200 times—the entire warranty period). He said, "there's nothing that says he can't take that many times. After I left there, I took it to Earthhardt + discovered he replaced the compressor when it wasn't bad. I will now file a real suit. Also, I will enclose a harassing letter I received from _____ after the hearing. There was no sensible reason for the judge to rule the way he did. I smell something very unethical here.

Also, I told the judge that I also brought another vehicle in to _____ for air conditioning work—twice I paid for that vehicle also—It wasn't fixed either + I had it fixed else (Attach additional sheets as needed)

(2)

2013-091

I paid this out of my own pocket. I'm sure
the warranty is not + will not be covered. I
spent 7 months waiting for air conditioning.

Riemer, George

From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:

I visited your office at 1501 W. Washington yesterday. I was astonished to find out that judges and their rulings in small claims courts are basically without a higher authority. This is very scary. Wouldn't it be much better for everyone (especially the public) if authority figures were kept in check. Apparently a judge in small claims has no one to answer to if his rulings are totally without common reasoning. It's not about money with me. It's about honor and integrity and just plain honesty & fairness. When I asked the court for something to explain the judge's ruling, it was not available to me unless I filed some other aggravating paperwork. Why shouldn't he explain his ruling right in the courtroom. I was asked to give my paperwork to the courts and was not given back copies of all of them...one being proof that I took my vehicle to another shop to evaluate it. I called the court immediately when I noticed they didn't give me copies back of all I gave them. They promised to mail them to me. I have not received them as of yet. I am in Phoenix... they are in . I probably could've walked there and got them by now. One must be kept under scrutiny for the sake of the good people. It's a shame how things are done in the judicial process. I hope someone comes along with integrity and honor who will change the way things are done with this whole process. It is pointless to fight the evil ones if your judge is no better than he. Thanks for listening...