

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 13-092

---

Judge:	No. 10712914194A
Complainant:	No. 10712914194B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge improperly dismissed his lawsuit and a presiding superior court judge improperly issued an administrative order requiring the complainant to receive approval to file any more lawsuits.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judges engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and various court records, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judges did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: May 30, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judges on May 30, 2013.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

CONFIDENTIAL  
State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2013-092

PLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: \_\_\_\_\_

Judge's name: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 4-22-2013

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files. 1- (51007)

JUDGE: \_\_\_\_\_ ACT'S AS AN FEDERAL  
NOW! THIS ATTORNEY- WHO USED TO WORK  
FOR THE LAW FIRM OF, ON OTHERS CASE'S  
LIKE SOME OF THE CASE'S THAT IN THE U.S. DIS-  
TRICT FEDERAL COURT ON FOURTH AND WASHINGTON THIS ATT;  
(015045) AND HER TEAM MATE'S AT THE TIME, HAD FAUGHT ME,  
IN AN FEDERAL COURT IN THE, FORMA PAUPERIS ISSUE'S. §1983 ISSUE INTO BROUGHT!  
THE SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA, COUNTY. THIS ISSUE IS  
BASE OFF FRAUD! ATTORNEYS: ND. SAID IT.  
IS THE VERY DEFINITION OF A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT  
AND MUST BE STOPPED. THIS IS A COURSE.  
PETITION FOR REFERRAL TO PRESIDING JUDGE  
DAVIS TO HAVE PLAINTIFF DECLARED A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT (ASSIGNED TO  
HON. ATTACHMENTS PAGES NEXT PAGES. CURRENT  
EFFORTS TO PROSECUTE, ARE THE ACTIONS OF A  
TRULY VEXATIOUS LITIGANT. ALTHOUGH NOT DEFINED BY ARIZONA STATUTE,  
CALIFORNIA'S CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE IS ILLUSTRATIVE IN ITS DEFINITION  
OF A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT AS A PERSON WHO DOES ANY OF THE FOLLOWING:  
1) 2) 3) 4) SEE CALIFORNIA CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE §391. THIS IS FRAUD!  
I, I AM NOT! AN INMATE! JUDGE.  
LET THE PETITION FOR REFERRAL TO DECLARED A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT IN  
AND JUDGE; MADE UP THEIR OWN COURT THEIR OWN RULE  
NOT NO ARIZONA RULES, JUST A CALIFORNIA RULE §391. AND AN FORMA  
PAUPERIS §1983 FEDERAL RULE, WHO DEAL WITH INMATE'S LIKE:

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

13-092

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's name: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: 4-29-2013

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

ATTORNEYS OF DEFENDANTS FOR FRAUDULENT COURTS = TO CHANGE SUPERIOR COURT SETTING TO DISTRICT SETTING.  
JUDGE 'S USING FEDERAL INMATE FRAUDULENT INMATE'S  
INVESTIGATION TO BE A VERAIDOUS WITNESS. UNION YES 2 2ND DAY OF APRIL, 2013.  
NOW! THIS ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER STATES IN THE MATTER  
OF PROHIBITING ANY LAWSUIT IN  
MARICOPA COUNTY WITHOUT OBTAINING PRIOR PERMISSION FROM THE COURT.  
JUDGE HAS RECEIVED SIX MOTION REQUESTING AN  
ORDER THAT BE PRECLUDED FROM FILING FUTURE LAWSUITS  
WITHOUT PRIOR COURT APPROVAL. FIRST OF ALL WHO SAID THIS TO YOU?  
THESE COUNSEL.

THE DEFENDANTS A CONS ME ON HIS DEFENSE COUNSEL ARE  
GOING TO SAY THIS TO YOU! BUT THEY ARE FEDERAL FORMA PAUPERIS ATTORNEYS  
LIKE A ATTORNEY.

AND  
FILE IN FEDERAL COURTS AND STATE COURT  
DEALING WITH SOME OF THESE CIVIL MATTERS.  
THIS WHO I AM FIGHTING

I  
WAS HEARD IN THE HEARING TODAY 4-25-2013 AND

AS KEPT ASKING? JUDGE:  
TO BRING AND TO READ ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER NO  
TO FAVOR HER DEFENSE BUT JUDGE:  
AT LEAST TWO TIMES  
ELUACNS JUDGE:  
HAD ASK HER TWICE!

NOT TO BRING THAT IN. AND JUDGE:  
SOMETHING LIKE WHATEVER THE DEFENDANT SAID OR SAY SOMETHING LIKE THAT. BUT JUDGE:  
WOULD NOT GO FOR DISS MALICE SETTING. ACTING IN FORMA PAUPERIS, INMATE, FILED  
CLOSE OUT CASE L  
NO STATED