

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-099

Judge:	No. 1467111014A
Complainant:	No. 1467111014B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner issued erroneous rulings related to child support calculations.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant, along with the electronic court records, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 6, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the commissioner on June 6, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2013-099

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: _____ **Judge's name:** _____ **Date:** 04-25-2013

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

My legal rights were violated, A judgment was make in the respondent favor giving them an opportunity to go back over nine years to have an adjustment make, when I was not given the same opportunity to go back and have support / arrears adjusted to my yearly income. I wrote a letter stating this yet was told that the judge had to make a ruling. I then stated that it was not fair they be given this opportunity and I was not, the same with what I show in my paper work it was not my fault for DES miss calculation on were the was to go, the respondent should be going after them not me.

Other paper work I received from the DES shows that if you have a discrepancy or you contest the amount you have 30 days to address it in writing. The respondent did not do this but was given the right to go back over nine years and have DES do a recalculation.

I have lived my life all these years based on document that DES provided to me.

It seems inequitable to me and that they are siding against me on matters I can no longer control. I am told that this is called equitable estoppel. I would of thought that when DES

printed out their E-cal report showing I was now at zero and now had to file a 22-b

this all would of been over with. The respondent should of never been given the right

to go back nine years for an adjustment to start with, this case should of gone off

of the E-cal report I received from DES. and closed.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)