

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 13-105

---

Judge:	No. 1456510561A
Complainant:	No. 1456510561B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge demonstrated bias against him by misstating certain facts in his case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 6, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 6, 2013.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2013-105

### COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 04/27/13

**Instructions:** Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

On April 26th, 2013, around 9:30 am. I was scheduled to be heard on my sur reply to the states motion to appoint counsel as I was self represented ProPr.

This argument has been continued through two (2) hearings due to the complications of processing legal mail by Inmate's through legal Inmate service's venues.

On April 12, 2013 County Attorney \_\_\_\_\_ during an hearing to argue State's Motion to appoint Counsel for the defendant asserted boldly to Judge \_\_\_\_\_

"Your Honor this defendant can not represent himself and anything he further says shows his Incompetency to what's going on today. he must not be allowed to further speak!"

quoting "However, \_\_\_\_\_ continued, when I'd object to the allegations by Mr. \_\_\_\_\_ and Re-

Scheduled myself and the State for continued day to argue, due to the time predicted the argument would need to hear both sides. On the 26th day of April when court commenced, \_\_\_\_\_ resided into

Courtroom about 8:45 am and when the matter to hear my Sur reply's in motion, to the state's motion to appoint counsel Judge asked if any additions I would like to add to my Sur reply's, I then added the Constitution forbids any State from forcing any attorney onto a defendant, and also I motion orally...

(Attach additional sheets as needed.)

For an third Competency examination to settle dispute, on any contact to my Competency due to the State's attorney motion to on September 21, 2012 for the examination of my "Competency on the grounds C-6. Stated; "The defendant has sent me letters that highlight his concerns and the deputy observed the defendant on one or two occasion while waiting in a cell speaking to himself as if someone was there. quoting "and granted on September 21, 2012 the State's Oral motion for Re-examination of Competency. After I would return Competent before on January 15, 2013 I was again order by Hegyi to self represent. and on March 29, 2013 State's attorney again oral motion to rescind my Pro Per status to self represent and appoint counsel. On April 26, 2013 was bias and/or prejudice concerning myself because, after, I stated what issues and interest. I like to add to my Sur reply's. stated to myself; "I am granting the State's motion and from now on I will be addressing Counsel. I think your a creative person, you sent me gite a few pictures, and your motions are, if this where a creative writing class interesting, However I wont allow you to represent yourself "because your mentally ill." quoting the remarks of The judge has mistakenly confused the tact about my filings to the court's, I never once sent judge any drawing of picture's and state's we sent him gite a few, ... it is the State's attorney who has filed picture drawings in their motions as exhibits to appoint counsel and those drawings are personally directed to previous also no person on the grounds of mental illness alone self be deem incompetent. has due to what was directed to the State's attorney on extincted days ago. Yes sided with the state in the dispute. Only Because of what the state exhibited; directed to their own.