

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-109

Judge:	No. 1099514194A
Complainant:	No. 1099514194B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge erroneously dismissed his lawsuit.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 13, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 13, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2013-109

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: _____

___ Judge's name: ___

Date: MAY-6-2013

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

JUDGE: _____ AGREED WITH THE DEFENDANTS FALES FRAUD
STATEMENTS. FIRST FRAUDULENT FEDERAL JURISDICTION; FEDERAL QUESTION CASE:
42:1983 AND Id. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(c)(2). PLUS YOUR PETITION ISSUE. THE NOERR-
PENNINGTON DOCTRINE DERIVES FROM THE PETITION CLAUSE OF THE FIRST
AMENDMENT AND PROVIDES THAT "THOSE WHO PETITION ANY DEPARTMENT OF
THE GOVERNMENT FOR REDRESS ARE GENERALLY IMMUNE FROM STATUTORY
LIABILITY FOR THEIR PETITIONING CONDUCT." LOOK UP

AND _____ ONE THING
ABOUT JUDGE: _____ HE KEPT ME _____ IN FORM
PAUPERIS 42:1983 JURISDICTION SENT HE IS AN FEDERAL JUDGE IN AN
FEDERAL COURT.