

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 13-112

---

Judge:	No. 1021419459A
Complainant:	No. 1021419459B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge acted as a federal judge in a state superior court.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 13, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 13, 2013.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

2013-112

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: DONALD MUHAMMAD Judge's name: J. RICHARD GAMA Date: 5-9-2013

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

NOW! THIS IS JUDGE. SECOND TIME DOING THIS, PLAYING  
A FEDERAL JUDGE IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA  
COUNTY OF MARICOPA. FIRST TIME = THIS FIRST FRAUDULENT  
IN THE SUPERIOR COURT DEALING WITH THE INC. SAME!  
TACKLE JUDGE: IN THE ROLE OF AN FEDERAL JUDGE.  
PETITION FOR REFERRAL TO PRESIDING JUDGE: TO HAVE  
PLAINTIFF DECLARED A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT IN AN FORMA PAUPERIS  
SETTING 42 U.S.C. §1983 AND 28 U.S.C. §1915. THESE "ID." RES JUDICATA  
ISSUE WILL BE THE ISSUE TO DEFEAT OTHER ISSUE LIKE NOW!  
(GEICO) AMANDA D. RENTERIA 'AND ADMINISTRATIVE  
ORDER NUMBER TO MAKE ME AN INIMATE  
YOU PUT YOUR SELF IN QUESTION AND YOU THINK THAT NOTHING  
WILL COME OUT OF THIS? ACTING AS AN FEDERAL JUDGE TWICE  
YOU WILL BE QUESTION? YOU HAVE TO  
BE HELD RESPONSIBLE FOR YOUR ACTION OF YOUR BEHAVE YOUR.  
SO! THIS IS HOW YOU WILL DEFEAT ANY OTHER LAWSUETS ON ACTING  
LIKE A FEDERAL JUDGE INSTEAD OF AN STATE COURT, SENDS IT'S WORKED  
BEFOND AND THE STATE NEVER STOP YOU NOW! YOU TALT ALL THE  
WOULD BE! JUDGES THAT'S NOT FEDERAL JUDGES NOW THEY CAN  
DEAFERT EVERY CASE, BY CIVIL STATE JUDGES ACTING IN ANOTHER  
JURISDICTION, NOW! THIS IS THE STY OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  
IN ARIZONA FIRST AND SENDS THESE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT  
DID NOT STOP YOU! NOW! ALL THESE OTHER JUDGES IS NOW  
DOING THE SAME THING. NOW! ALL THESE RICH DEFENDANTS AND  
CROOKET JUDGES TO MAKE A FRAUDULENT, ADMINISTRATIVE ORD - ?