

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-116

Judge:	No. 890004750A
Complainant:	No. 890004750B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge, now retired, violated several rules in the Code of Judicial Conduct while he presided over his criminal case in the early 2000s.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant, the complainant's prior complaints about the same judge in 2004 and 2006, and Commission Administrative Policy 4 (Investigation of Old Complaints), the commission dismissed the complaint in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23. Separate and apart from the complaint being completely stale under Commission Administrative Policy 4, the commission does not have jurisdiction to review legal claims.

Dated: June 20, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 20, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

013-11

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name: _____ Date: _____

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

THIS FORM A FORMER COMPLAINT THAT WILL SHOW A PATTERN OF MISCONDUCT COMMITTED BY: _____ WHILE HE WAS THE PRESIDING JUDGE IN " STATE V _____

I UNDERSTAND THAT SOME JUDICIAL ORGANIZATIONS USE THE PROMISE ^{COMPLAINTS} ARE UNWORTHY OF REVIEW BECAUSE THEY HAVE GROWN STALE BECAUSE OF THE TIME Lapse BETWEEN WHEN THE JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT OCCURRED AND WHEN THE COMPLAINT IS FILED. THIS COMPLAINT IS NOT STALE FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS: (A) THERE IS NO STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS WITH REGARD TO THE FILING OF COMPLAINTS. (B) THERE IS NO RULE SAYING COMPLAINTS WON'T BE INVESTIGATED IF THE JUDGE HAS RETIRED OR DIED. (C) I JUST RECENTLY HAD ACCESS TO IMPORTANT COURT DOCUMENTS (D) EVERY DAY I WAKE UP IN PRISON FRESHAS THE MEMORY OF JUDGE _____ MULTIPLE ACTS OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT.