

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 13-131

---

Judge:	No. 1010114688A
Complainant:	No. 1010114688B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge joined with the prosecutor to mislead him to believe if he pled guilty to certain criminal charges he would receive a lenient sentence.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 27, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 27, 2013.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

Greetings to the -

3-191

- commission on Judicial conduct,

"concerning" -

\* You can't allow rogue renegade judges to deal their sort of justice in the great state of ARIZONA. Everyone has to abide by the law, and judges should be held to a higher standard, but when they cross the line, and become vigilantes, and start committing - criminal acts to repay criminal acts, there is a terrible injustice transpiring, and that's what happened here.

\*

brain damaged, I AM A  
the county jail since I've been in  
robbery charges. ON OR AROUND Fighting multiple -  
AM IN at 9:30

COUNTY ARIZONA, I WAS HAVING A HEARING BEFORE  
this judge, I WAS REPRESENTED BY PUBLIC DEFENDER  
This judge, and I hope it's on record, but even  
if it isn't, my lawyer witnessed this hearing. The judge  
said this to me - mr  
back time, AND 85%, with your county jail  
if you take this plea! you won't have to do much time  
well" I didn't take the plea that  
day.

2013-131

9:30 AM IN

\* This was my next hearing,

court room.

representing me, along with attorney \_\_\_\_\_, who was there to fill in for \_\_\_\_\_ because \_\_\_\_\_ had a jury trial, and would have to leave my hearing part way through. The judge started by telling her court stenographer to stop, that she didn't want the following to be on record, which i felt was odd. She started out by telling me that she's the most lenient judge in \_\_\_\_\_, then she repented what she said at my last hearing - "again!", like i told you before with your county jail back time, and at 85%, you wouldn't have much time to do. Well the plea bargain offered to me was 12- to 89 years, and clearly her hints were that i would get the 12 years!

I said to her, your honor "First degree murderers are plea bargaining down to second degree murder in this county all the time for ten year sentences! then she said murderers have one victim, you have ten, and i thought, one victim; a dead victim!! her logic is even wrong! unless she's only counting election votes.

\* Then

and, prosecutor

embarked on a hour long campaign goading, and pressuring me, i felt like i was in a police interrogation room! the victims - where forced upon my conscience so intensely, they made me feel like i committed these crimes when i didn't. The pressure was so intense that it took away my ability to make a knowingly, and intelligent decision. conscience guide to break