

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 13-133

---

Judge:	No. 1096514308A
Complainant:	No. 1096514308B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner intentionally delayed his case and allowed his court-appointed attorney to violate his rights.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and various minute entry orders, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 3, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer  

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the commissioner on July 3, 2013.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

**CONFIDENTIAL**

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

## COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: 5-18-13

**Instructions:** Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

Commissioner intentionally has delayed court proceedings. On more than one instance repeated orders were issued. 12-20-12 an order to have conducted a settlement conference was given. On the next court date 01-23-13 no mention of settlement conference was referenced. Also on 4-4-2013 another order for settlement conference was issued. A comprehensive pretrial conference took place on January 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2013 and another comprehensive pretrial conference on April 4<sup>th</sup>, 2013. It should be noted that on 4-4-13 next court hearing was scheduled out for over 2 months. Commissioner allowed court appointed counsel at that time to deny substantial rights of myself by making repeat orders, not holding court appointed attorney responsible for the damage existing in currently. The intentional delay and repeated orders have an impactful negative result.

*(Attach additional sheets as needed.)*