

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-135

Judge:	No. 1039814690
Complainant:	No. 1039814690

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased and made inappropriate statements during his trial.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and electronic court records, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Beyond the fact that this complaint is stale, the commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 18, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 18, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

8013-135

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name:

Date: 5/25/2013

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

HON. JUDGE WAS MY TRIAL JUDGE IN
CASE

DURING MY WHOLE TRIAL THIS JUDGE ACTED FAVORABLE TO
THE STATE OF ARIZONA AND IN VIOLATION OF CANONS #1,
#2, AND #3.

Example ① This judge informed jury members that this
case was no longer a death penalty case, that the defendant
could receive "life in prison" or go "free". ② This judge
would not allow the defense to attack the credibility of
state witnesses. ③ He made statements that should not have
come in at my trial.

This judge acted in violation of his oath and duty to the
state and U.S. Constitution. He acted in violation of the rules
of the Supreme Court and violation of Canon's #1, #2 and #3.

He was clearly favorable to the state throughout my
trial and denied me of my U.S. Constitutional right to a
fair trial and due process.

I request that this commission review his conduct
in my case and orders and his conduct at the court of
appeals, Division one.

THANK YOU.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)