

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 13-144

---

|              |                 |
|--------------|-----------------|
| Judge:       | No. 1081914699A |
| Complainant: | No. 1081914699B |

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge had no basis to approve an order of protection.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 18, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 18, 2013.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

**CONFIDENTIAL**

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**2013-144**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Your name:

\_\_\_\_ Judge's name

Date: 6/3/13

**Instructions:** You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

The Defendant was found guilty on a order of protection that was not legal. The Constitution states that A judge will here the petition in which he has 14 days to hear it and sign it. Then he gives it to the ~~the~~ the clerk and he or she has 24 hrs. to file it. But she was served on March 5th 2010 and it was not recieved untill March 30 2010. That is more than 15 days. Also she was found guilty of a possession of a dangerous drug with no evidence of her having any possession of or around her. She asked court in which any evidence they had to do a finger print of in which they would not. Also the officer who testified was not the officer that searched her. It was a totally different officer in which he did not search her. The reason that the order of protection is not legal it because it not even filed.