

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 13-145

---

Judge:	No. 1065313851A
Complainant:	No. 1065313851B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was disrespectful toward her in court and engaged in improper ex parte communication with the prosecutor and her advisory counsel.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant, along with several minute entry orders available online, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 18, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer  

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 18, 2013.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2013-145

## COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: 5/20/13

**Instructions:** Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

On 5-20-13 the Judge turned motions of mine into a Act of Humiliation and Disrespect Before the court. He spoke to Advisory Counsel and the County Attorney without me being present in the court room. I saw them at the bench and when I came in they all moved to their proper spots. I told him my stand on motions I filed and he replied by saying he thought I was crazy and retorted and he ordered a Rule 11. Because I told him my mother was a judge he retaliated by ordering a Rule 11 in the case.

He made me feel humiliated in his conduct and his actions. He belittled me in the presence of people and community and made me feel as if I'm a stupid person despite all the schooling I went through and college and he did this.

I know for pro-pr individuals the judge can't do ex-parte communications without all parties present and he did here and I do not know what was spoken of while I was not present.

I feel the judge's conduct was disrespectful and unnecessary considering I've been compliant at all times. There is no reason he should of done a Rule 11 in my case.

(Attach additional sheets as needed.)