

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 13-171

---

Judge:	No. 1089414715A
Complainant:	No. 1089414715B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner, prosecutor, and defense counsel systematically violated his legal and constitutional rights.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: August 1, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on August 1, 2013.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

1 of 2 PGS. State Commission on Judicial Conduct in Arizona State Courts  
 Reverse page 1501 West Washington Street, room 229 Phoenix AZ. 85007  
 Complaint against a Commissioner/Judge Tempore.

Presiding Judge in Cause no

Under our Constitution, I have a Rite to be Considered innocent until Proven guilty in a Court of law, and although I can prove im innocent im being denied the Rite to obtain and submit certain Legal documents that would be assentual in proveing my case, as well as my Rite to due process a Speedy and Fair trial with proper legal counsel. (See Attachments)

Judge Tempore,

has and allowed my Rite to submit documents such as police report rebuttle, The Ruess affidavit taking responsibility for the eye glass case containing her diabetic Syrenge, The D.P.S. Blood Concentr affadavit form;

which contain the alcohol Blood Concentrate and Toxicology analysis which Indicate 0.00% alcohol. (as one of the States alleged Charges count 5, driving under the influence of intoxicating liquor. please see alleged Indictments and Indictment affadavit) and Medical Records.

He also allowed the state to omitt certain documented pages from the police report infact hes even allowed the state to withhold Rule 15. disclosures, each lawyer that I have had has repeatedly filed motions for the rule 15 disclosures, Intire police documental and supplimental reports, with Transcripts and excetra be sent to the defendent. Hes also allowing the state to allege witnesses who were no where near the alleged innocent and infact havent been allowed around children and I since aug. 2012. (ex-inlaws) who would be hostile and prejudice witnesses to testify, but also denying defense/defendent the request to a copy of a letter sent by

Submitted to the judge around Nov. 30th 2012.

has also refused to

allow the defendent or defense to obtain copies of a court file that would Prove that and had lied in Previous Courts

Regarding the defendant, I've repeatedly requested that among other important documents, that defense obtain the medical records from [redacted] that would not only contain the Blood Toxicology and other drug screening tests, that were taken Nov. 4th, 2012 but would also state the medications administered, Vital Signs and would reflect the condition of said defendant. I've brought all of this to

attention through [redacted] letters and motions, as well as the Complaints against Prosecution for over charging, double and triple Jeopardy, Manufacturing Charges without any evidence, multiplicity and misleading the alleged Grand Jury. There has still been no weight or Lab test, we are 7 months past the 72hr threshold rules and statutes the state has to produce this evidence. And also serious charges against my lawyer

[redacted] to the attention of Judge [redacted] as instructed by the State Bar,

and other agencies. And also, the violation of my Arizona Constitutional

Civil and Bill of Rights, and because there has been "nine" changes in counsel for the defense, I've had to file numerous motions on my own behalf including for an Scriptage or even an evidential hearing of which I have obvious solid grounds in support of said motions. On May, 14th, [redacted] denies my motions and requests stating "Court has received defendants motions and 'pleads' and takes no further action as the defendant does not have the right to file as he has an attorney? I didn't have an attorney when I filed, and I do have a right to file.

I have had nine changes in counsel, [redacted] has appointed 2 of these attorneys knowing that they had or were planning to turn in their Resignations.

and [redacted] See, due Process Affidavit) And assigned [redacted] Nov. 8 '12, of whom withdrew, and re-appointed him two more times after knowing that we had personal issues. In fact, Nov. 30 2012 [redacted] quit during a hearing (slammed down a piece of paper in front of me and stormed out of the courtroom.) and

re-assigned him again, on February 20th. on the 26th [redacted] Filed a

Rule 11, with absolutely nothing in support of this. and after both doctors diagnosis were submitted to the court March and Besing of April diagnosing that [redacted] is competent." and "Nothing wrong with [redacted] continues to draw out the Rule 11 Proceedings. and has drawn this hearing out six months so far,