

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-187

Judge:	No. 1102710864A
Complainant:	No. 1102710864B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a pro tem superior court judge should have disqualified himself.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 25, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 25, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY



COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name

Judge's name

Date: 7/18/13.

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Attach additional pages, as needed. Please describe in your own words what the judge said or did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. To help us understand your concern, be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places where the conduct occurred. Include only copies of original documents or court recordings that are relevant to your allegations. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

Judge participated in extra-judicial
Proceedings with Representatives of the State, the
COUNTY DEPUTY COUNTY ATTORNEY, the
COUNTY ASSISTANT LEGAL DEFENDER, and
the COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE in Division
the in the Commission Case
to sit before the Complainant in his case
and receive documents, evidence, witnesses in order
to try and convict the Complainant on July 16th, 2013
to two (2) counts of third degree burglary after
receiving Complainant's case from Judge
on July 16th, 2013, where Judge was
never assigned to Complainant's case by the Presiding
Judge or one his/her's designee's, nor officially
assigned to the case and never held an status
conference where Complainant been without an
assigned Judge nearly ninety (90) days and convicted
Complainant in a one (1) day Trial without any
notice to Complainant that a trial was to be
had before him, is conduct which reflects
adversely upon the Judge's integrity, impartiality
and demean the judicial office and interfere's
with the proper performance of his duties.
Furthermore, Judge should never had
sit before Complainant to try and sentence him
where Complainant repeatedly objected to the

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

(see attached additional sheet).

(Additional Sheet)
 COMPLAINT AGAINST A Judge

Your Name

Judges Name

date: July 18th, 2013.

Proceedings before the Court and Judge
 knew that it was Prejudicial and bias where
 he was a Deputy County Attorney at the time
 Complainant was arrested in this case
 but still chose to sit before Complainant and
 render judgement, in this case.

Judge , intends to sit before the
 Complainant to sentence him in this case on
 August 19th, 2013. See attachment which is
 the "Sentence Notification Form" that
 Complainant received upon conviction before
 Judge Casey , on July 17th, 2013,

Complainant ask this Commission to
 conduct an complete investigation into the
 conduct and acts of this Judge and if it
 feels it warrants disciplinary action, then
 Complainant request that this Commission
 imposes the most harsh penalty it can.