

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-189

Judge:	No. 1090614726A
Complainant:	No. 1090614726B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner was rude, demeaning, and did not allow him to present his case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the complaint and the recording of the hearing, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 25, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the commissioner on September 25, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name: Commissioner

Date: 7/8/13

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

was rude, degrading and demeaning to me in court.
I WAS NOT ALLOWED TO SUBMIT EVIDENCE PERTINENT
TO MY DEFENSE. I WAS NOT ALLOWED TO DIRECT OR
CROSS EXAMINE THE PETITIONER. MS INCLAND WAS
MOST UNPROFESSIONAL YELLING SCREAMING TELLING ME WHAT
TO DO WHILE SHE ASKED PETITIONER'S COUNSEL NIELY
US clearly demonstrated to me she
was a hater of men in those matters.
She is guilty of hate crime. She awarded petitioner
legal fees when clearly I am unable to pay to
them based on my limited income. She
purposefully punished me by allowing the
petitioner to be awarded attorney fees knowing
I am unable to pay them. I recommend the
Tape from the trial be pulled so the committee
can witness what a hater of men she was.
It is clear she holds this position due to her
LACK OF respect for men as it is apparent in
her court room. She conducted herself in a most
selfish unprofessional manner, did not allow me
to present my case in full awarded attorney fees
I am unable to pay and conducted herself
in a way I believe she is prejudiced against
men.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)