

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-198

Judge:	No. 1035514732A
Complainant:	No. 1035514732B

ORDER

The complainants alleged a superior court judge demonstrated bias by allowing a civil lawsuit to proceed against them without proper jurisdiction and by improperly giving the plaintiff legal advice.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the complaint and various minute entry orders and pleadings, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: August 28, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainants and the judge on August 28, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2013-198

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

___ Judge's name

___ Date: Aug 5, 2013

COMPLAINT AGAINST JUDGE EILEEN WILLET

_____ has proceeded against _____ hereinafter, Complainant, without jurisdiction having been evidenced and properly invoked by the Plaintiff, by showing evidence of any broken contract or agreement or any other lawful relationship or obligation(s) between Plaintiff and Complainant aka. Alleged Defendant;

_____ by proceeding without requiring Plaintiff to demonstrate with positive evidence of why this court should have lawful jurisdiction, _____ has given the appearance of being biased, partial and prejudiced against the Complainant in favor of Plaintiff;

_____ has given legal advice to the Plaintiff, by giving Plaintiff legal instructions which should be legally reserved to attorney representation, thereby acting in the capacity of an attorney for the Plaintiff.

_____ has demonstrated that she is biased and prejudiced against Complainant because Complainant challenged _____ court's jurisdiction, by Special Appearance, In Propria Persona, and as a natural person.

_____ dismissed _____ with prejudice on 10/04/2012 then subsequently allowed Plaintiff to file an amended second complaint to include Complainant without addressing jurisdiction or notifying Complainant/ Alleged Defendant.