

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 13-202

---

Judge:	No. 1055214554A
Complainant:	No. 1055214554B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner improperly prevented him from presenting evidence during a hearing to overturn an order of protection.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the complaint and the electronic court record, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 4, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the commissioner on September 4, 2013.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

On Oct. 31, 2012, I appeared before the court to defend myself against false allegations by my embittered ex-wife ( ). She alleged that I had threatened her life, which was a total fabrication. As a matter of fact, she was the one that was arrested on two separate occasions for domestic violence. I proceeded to ask Renee about her allegation on the Order of Protection question number three, which asks if she had been arrested for domestic violence. She answered yes, but she substituted herself with me in saying that I had chased her in my vehicle and hit her when in fact; she was the one who chased and hit me and there were 3 witness statements saying they saw her do it.

( ) would not let me present the witness statements in the form of a report. I argued stating it went towards ( ) credibility, but much to my amazement that didn't matter to ( ). I argued further that this was factual evidence as opposed to here say, but it didn't seem to matter to her. I got the feeling from being in that court room that my fate had already been decided and there was nothing I could do to change it, which is a horrible feeling as I have made a career of defending this nation's freedom.

I continued to try to expose ( ) lies to this Commissioner, but all my efforts were in vain. ( ) claimed on item #4 that I used my Federal agent status to show up at our daughter's school to force the school to hand over my daughter knowing full well that it was my scheduled weekend or weekday overnight. I also showed this to ( ), but instead she proceeded to question me in regards to an email ( ) produced saying that our daughter was sick. I told ( ) that she had used this ploy many times before so I couldn't see my daughter, but she seemed to be stuck on the fact that ( ) had sent an email not on the fact that she had called her in sick on my scheduled weekend on many occasions.

It's my experience that leads me to say that ( ) holds a grudge in that courtroom and would much rather use her unrestrained power to judge unfairly. She would much rather listen to here say than to matters of fact, which is in my opinion, the worst trait to have in a judge. Her decision in this case has led my employer to possibly terminate me. I hope you can do something to help this terrible way of treating people. This is ultimately going to hurt my daughter the most since I won't be able to provide for her, but that's what my ex-wife ultimately wanted anyway, lucky for her; there are people like ( ) to help a vengeful convict exact their revenge. Thank you for taking the time to read this letter and hope you can do something.