

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-212

Judge:	No. 1036014745A
Complainant:	No. 1036014745B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge violated his constitutional rights and demonstrated bias against him by denying every motion he has filed.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant, along with various minute entry orders available online, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 19, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 19, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

8013-212

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your Name: _____

Judge's Name: _____

Date: AUG. 5, 13

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

1. Forcing me to Accept I.A.C.
2. her decision making is bias, AND prejudicial,
3. Malicious prosecution.
4. Failure to Acknowledge A lack of Jurisdiction.

1. Forcing me to Accept I.A.C.:

On Aug. 05, 2013, I had moved the court through written motion to have Advisory Counsel withdraw from my case, Advisory Counsel joined me in that request, Furthermore Advisory Counsel stated to the court that that if she wasn't permitted to withdraw that she didn't believe that she could be effective (in other words she would be ineffective) Needless to say the judge denied the motion to withdraw Advisory Counsel.

2. Judge's decision making is bias, and prejudicial:

Every pretrial motion that has went before this judge she has denied with out explanation---i filed a motion to dismiss charges she denied the motion without explanation, the motions raised jurisdiction issues, fundamental, and procedural due process issues ect. this is just one of many that this judge has dismissed that had merit.

3. Malicious prosecution:

4. Failure to Acknowledge A lack of Jurisdiction:

Due to the Judge'(s) Failure to Acknowledge Jurisdiction or the due process ISSUE'(s) in my case'(s) i.e. No Indictment and No preliminary hearing Since Aug. 9, 2012 the day i WAS Arrested and I.A. AND Wanting to proceed Where she has no Jurisdiction to do so this Judge has engaged in an Act'(s) of treason. [U.S. v. Will, 449 U.S. 200, 216, 101 S.ct. 471, 66 L.Ed.2d 392, 406 (1980)] also See: [COHNS v. Virginia, 19 U.S. (6 Wheat) 264, 404 5.L.Ed 257 (1821)]

It must be said that i Was [Arrested] and taken to [I.A. COURT] ON [Aug. 9, 2012] and under [Rule. 5.1 (a)(c) of the Ariz. R. crim. p. the State has (10-days) to prelim. me or has to release me Automatically, that Would have been between Aug. 9, 2012 - Aug. 19, 2012] this didnt happen here, in stead the Judge WANTS to look at Aug. 30, 2012, the only dates that have any bearing at all is the date's between Aug. 9, 2012 and Aug. 19, 2012, NO Indictment, NO preliminary hearing, NO Jurisdiction, NO case, these things the Judge dose create's MALICIOUS PROSICUTION by the Judge, AND has a prejudicial Affect on me and my case.

Feel Free to look At the transcripts AND motions Filed

to resolve I WANT Dismissal of charges, or in the Alternative Release From Custody pursuant to Rule. 5.1 Ariz. R. crim. p. (a)(c) and a New Judge.

Aug. 10, 2013.