

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-225

Judge:	No.0017214754A
Complainant:	No.0017214754B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge is biased in favor of the prosecution.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the complaint, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: October 2, 2013

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on October 2, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona

Commission on Judicial Conduct

1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229

Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2013-225

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: _____ Judge's name: _____

Date: 8-30-13

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Attach additional pages, as needed. Please describe in your own words what the judge said or did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. To help us understand your concern, be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places where the conduct occurred. Include only copies of original documents or court recordings that are relevant to your allegations. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

With all do respect to the Arizona Judicial System, I am making a formal complaint, regarding Judge of division SR, of the Arizona Superior Court in County, State of Arizona.

My representation is currently hybrid representation with as my advisory counsel. My case # is State v. _____

The Judge residing over my case, deliberately shows favorable biased favoritism towards the prosecution, in violation of Rule 81, Rules of the Supreme Court - Judicial Ethics. Judicial Ethics mandates an independent, fair and impartial Judiciary System. A judge who manifests bias or prejudice in a proceeding impairs the fairness of the proceeding and brings the judiciary into disrepute.

I have submitted motions regarding disclosure issues (motion for disclosure by State/Nation to compel), and when I dispute undisclosed items that were ordered in the motions to Compel the judge says, "He does not want to hear nothing on the issue of things he's already made a ruling on." Consequently what he says in open Court in his Rulings do not carry over to the written rulings following.

During motions hearing set for disclosure issues, I also orally made a motion to Sever and ~~made~~ motion for disclosure of personell records of officers because I believe there has been Police misconduct. In Court the Judge ruled against these motions, but at the same hearing told me I could submit written motions at a later time if I wanted to. Now after submitting

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

written motions to Sever offenses and motion to compel disclosure of personell records and records of similar cases involving officers. Now when I bring these issues up regarding these written motions the Judge says, "He never recieved them and we could address these motions at the next scheduled hearing." Then when the next hearing comes around he states "He don't have any record of the previous issues."

Currently I send my motions to the Court via my advisory Counsel, my advisory counsel then submits my motions to the Court. Now, it has become a dispute of whether my advisory counsel is submitting my motions because Judge disputes ever recieving the disputed motions.

I feel there is an orchestrated charade of governmental misconduct going on involving my case. Technically, I feel it's me against the system.

When I bring the issues up in open Court the Judge states "we will address these issues at the next hearing. Then when I get the minute entry it states that he already made rulings on these motions and will not address these motions any further.

I am in the process of getting the transcripts of hearings/rulings but am ~~am~~ 2023

having difficulty receiving them from my advisory counsel.

I feel the Judicial System here is lop-sided and I recently submitted a motion for new advisory counsel through the Clerk of the Court. I am also making a Complaint, regarding my advisory counsel, to the Arizona STATE BAR.

I also feel I am receiving biased prejudice because I choose to be Pro Se (Hybrid) with advisory counsel. It appears to me the the Judicial officers here apparently think I have no experience with the Law, or feel they can take advantage of me, given my lack of experience.

Thank you for your time.

Truly,