

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-232

Judge:	No. 1120014087A
Complainant:	No. 1120014087B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge and attorneys were overheard by a court reporter and court secretary to specifically say they were railroading him.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: October 23, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on October 23, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2013-232

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: _____

Judge's name: _____

Date: 9-8-13

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

*I was classed a 3.3 in prison, but that jail called the prison and had me classed as a 5.5 max exhausted.

Rule 1.1. Compliance with the law: I put in a motion to have a written judicial statement of reasoning of verdict. He never even consented to motion. He sentenced me when I told him in person and in writing I was becoming forced into the plea agreement. He denied me my right to defend myself as a proper defendant, cause all of a sudden I had an unscheduled court date that I refused to go to because I had 2 cases pending and no one knew or would tell me which court it was for. Then they muted all my motions and returned the attorney to me that I reported to him personally and in writings of lawyer misconduct. They threatened me with 45 yrs if I didn't take the deal. I would have went to trial, but the blatant misconduct of the judge of Canon's 2.2, 2.3, 2.6, 1.3, 1.2, 1.1, 2.9, 2.10, 2.11, 2.16, 2.15, 2.12, 3.1 play basket ball with chairs of jail and giving intermission of cases to them and asking them about jail inmates. The doc. told me that himself and know about my case and would discuss it with inmates and chairs alike. And that's when the jail refused to let me use the law library by physical force and asked for assist and of his clear blue sky, and took me to a room by myself and the director of the jail himself was speaking with the judge. All these the case last year if you look at it was this type of crazy ethical breaches. And if you read the 32 page brief of the Feb. 15, 2011

sentencing it explains everything in detail. He would not let me read any statement of the record, but they said they would file it. This judge has done this and worse to other people. I didn't explain much but I filed a claim before against another judge that was residing over my kids case and the claims were founded to be accurate and true, and that judge corrected his ways and left the ways of Mr.

influence. *I don't know why I didn't file earlier other than I was drained, and that's what a judge that acts like that hopes for and leads for as most dictators do like Assad the president of Syria does to his own Syrian people.

It was Judge _____ that I had my kids case in at the same time and you _____ founded the claims, but chose not to go public. (Attach additional sheets as needed) But if you read the 32 page brief written and typed to speak allowed you will see that every Canon stated that he broke - he did, and more toward legality. The whole case you will find very interesting, as well as others he has presided over too.