

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-241

Judge:	No. 2000014768A
Complainant:	No. 2000014768B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a municipal court judge interfered with his opportunity to be heard, allowed improper evidence, and was biased.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 18, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 18, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

2013-241

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ **Judge's Name:** _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

BEFORE THE HEARING I WAS TAKEN INTO A SMALL ROOM FOR DISCOVERY, SHOWN THE EVIDENCE AND A VIDEO, THAT I DIDN'T KNOW EXISTED AND THAT EXONERATED ME. I ALSO LEARNED THAT THE MAN WHO WAS THE 'WITNESS' WAS AN 'EMPLOYEE OF THE PRIVATE FIRM THAT OWNED THE CAMERAS.'

THE FIRST PROBLEM AROSE WHEN THE 'WITNESS' TESTIFIED AND PRESENTED HIS EVIDENCE WITHOUT BEING SWORN OR FACING ME IN THE WITNESS' CHAIR. I CAN ONLY ASSUME THAT THIS WAS BEING DONE TO SHIELD HIM FROM ANY CHARGE OF PERJURY AND THAT ONLY NEED BE DONE IF THE JUDGE KNEW HE WAS GOING TO LIE, AND/OR PRESENT DOCTORED PHOTOS.

I TRIED TO QUESTION THIS BUT JUDGE _____ STOPPED ME WITH AN EXPLANATION OF THE APPEALS PROCESS AND HOW WE HAD TO KEEP EVERYTHING 'STRAIGHT' FOR A _____ COURT JUDGE. SO, NOT ONLY WAS THE 'WITNESS' NOT SWORN, I WAS EFFECTIVELY PREVENTED FROM QUESTIONING HIM OR REQUESTING THAT HE BE SWORN OR FACE ME.

AFTER I SHOWED CLEARLY THAT MY ACTIONS WERE REASONABLE AND PRUDENT, AND, IN FACT, AVERTED AN ACCIDENT USING THE VIDEO ANOTHER PROBLEM AROSE. A NEW SET OF PHOTOS WAS PRESENTED, PHOTOS THAT WEREN'T DATED OR TIMED SHOWING NONE OF THE CARS ON THE VIDEO OR STILL PHOTOGRAPHY AND WEREN'T INCLUDED IN THE DISCOVERY. THESE PHOTOS PURPORTED TO 'SHOW THE SCENE' AND WERE FOR 'REFERENCE'. JUDGE _____ DID LITTLE MORE THAN GLANCE AT THEM, COMING TO THE CONCLUSION THAT I HAD ADEQUATE TIME AND DISTANCE TO SLOW TO __, THE SPEED LIMIT. THIS COMPLETELY DISREGARDED THE FACT THAT THE VIDEO SHOWED MY CAR ACCELERATING ALL THE WAY THROUGH IT, AND THAT MY SPEED WAS ARRIVED AT THROUGH TIME AND DISTANCE. WITH A BIG V8 ENGINE TO HAVE AVERAGED _____ WHILE ACCELERATING THROUGH THE VIDEO, I WOULD HAVE HAD TO START AROUND _____ IF JUDGE _____ ACTUALLY BELIEVED WHAT HE WAS SAYING, WHICH I DOUBT, HE IS TOO STUPID TO TIE HIS OWN SHOES.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ **Judge's Name:** _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

THERE COMES A TIME TO RESIGN ONESELF. IT WAS RATHER OBVIOUS, WITH SUCH A RIDICULOUS AND TRANSPERANTLY FALSE STATEMENT BASED ON PHOTOS THAT SHOULD NOT HAVE BEEN ADMITTED, I WAS SIMPLY BEING SET UP BY NOT ONE EMPLOYEE OF THE 'PRIVATE COMPANY THAT OWNS THE CAMERAS'. BUT RATHER TWO BECAUSE IT BECAME RATHER OBVIOUS THAT JUDGE _____ WAS ALSO IN THE EMPLOY OF THIS COMPANY.

WHILE SOME PEOPLE MIGHT APPEAL I REALLY, AFTER BEING PRESENT FOR JUDGE _____ TACIT BUT OBVIOUS SHOW OF BRIBE TAKING, BELIEVE THAT APPEALS ARE JUST A WAY TO DIG DEEPER INTO MY POCKETS. ANY SYSTEM THAT ALLOWS THE TRAVESTY I SAT THROUGH CANNOT BE HONEST AND I HAVE ALREADY MET ALL THE EMPLOYEES OF 'THE PRIVATE COMPANY THAT OWNS THE CAMERAS' I CARE TO MEET. I AM NOT GOING TO THROW GOOD MONEY AFTER BAD.

I DO HOPE I AM WRONG AND THAT SOME THINGS ARE NOT AS THEY APPEAR, THOUGH THAT IS HIGHLY UNLIKELY. STILL I AM A PART OF THE COMMUNITY SO I AM MAKING THIS COMPLAINT IN ORDER TO AT LEAST GET THE SITUATION OUT IN THE OPEN.