

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-242

Judge:	No. 1069014769A
Complainant:	No. 1069014769B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner improperly cancelled a hearing.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: October 30, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the commissioner on October 30, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

SEP 25 2013

Judicial Conduct Review Board,

September 21, 2013

Re: conference hearing September 18, 2013 vacated by

July 11 2013 I file a petition to enforce spousal maintenance, medical insurance coverage, and medical expense reimbursement. The medical insurance is of the utmost importance. In addition, my home is going into foreclosure because of arrears nearing ten thousand dollars.

I followed the court protocol arriving at the court five days prior to the hearing to drop off as instructed the exhibits.

The day of the hearing with I was told by the clerk that the hearing had been vacated on September 17 by This was disturbing news as I had followed all the courts instructions. The clerk told me she did not know the reason why the hearing had been vacated and sent me to the fifth floor to confer with

Both and I were in the court for this meeting.

The aide told me that the hearing had been vacated by because my ex-husband had set a date for a hearing on September 30, 2013. I was told they tried to contact on September 17, 2013 in the afternoon. Really, twenty-four hours notice. I was not at home after ten a.m. I had gone to my daughter's home. Because of my disability, she was helping me, taking time from her business.

The sad thing was they tried to put the blame on me saying they called a number listed as my contact number this number was never my phone number.

She then Stated answered that phone it is his number. My number has not changed so this is most likely typographical error still the paperwork I filed asked for all this information, which was supplied. Nevertheless, twenty-four hours is ridiculous.

I begged to talk to but was refused that opportunity. We will now never know if was going to supply the court ordered insurance benefit.

The clerk told me I could call clerk to find out what was going on and why the hearing was vacated. I did and was told by his clerk that they did not vacate or do they have anything to do with the scheduling of hearings.

She told me to call back [redacted] to again ask why hearing was vacated again I received parroted information that they called twenty-four prior insinuating this was my fault.

I had weeks of anticipation for this hearing the preparation was taxing.

This dismissal was without cause or explanation. Did the [redacted] ever ask if [redacted] wanted to provide the medical insurance coverage that his company were ordered to pay.

My rights were violated by [redacted] inappropriate actions, I hope as a citizen you will find cause and sanctions of some sort.

I do believe my time is just as valuable as hers is along with those who assist me because of my disabilities.