# State of Arizona

# COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 13-255	
Judge:		No. 1075514776A
Complainant:		No. 1075514776B

### ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner improperly ordered an involuntary mental health evaluation and denied him due process in his child support case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: February 3, 2014.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the commissioner on February 3, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CONFIDENTIAL State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

## COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

### Judge's Name:

Commissioner granted judgment against on for past child support and accrued interest in the amount of due process of law so much that he wasn't denied Commissioner afforded his rights stipulated on the commissioner's order to appear and pursuant to Arizona law. Upon her irrational explanation for why willfully failed to make support payments, Commissioner further violated civil rights by remanding him to the custody of the indefinitely and setting an unreasonable cash purge amount of Shockingly, this was the incident Commissioner ruled against with such impropriety. complaint for Commissioner conduct in mental health court.

months delinquent on his child support obligation that began was months prior. One of Commissioner findings in her minute entry was that "has not paid toward his child support obligation for many years." Judge calculated the testimony of his earning potential, not actual earnings, child support based on before the decree of dissolution was final, and subsequently ordered not to file petitions, so had no opportunity to modify. During the hearing. Commissioner should have used the held that month that his sister pays for his living expenses to pay the support obligation.

Commissioner examined about his ability and willingness to pay, limited most if not all of his answers to one word responses, and denied him any reasonable opportunity to testify or make a statement. Mental illness was one of reason s for his inability to pay, but Commissioner prohibited from presenting his medical records to verify his claim. Not only does Arizona law afford this right, stipulated it in her order to appear for the hearing. Oddly, Commissioner if he still had the mental illness, and after did ask said he didn't know, the commissioner interrupted with, "You still have it. I was a registered further denied his right to show evidence altogether. including denial letters of employment due to his for Interfering with the Judicial Proceedings, charges pressed by the opposing party.

after unlawfully issuing a detention order to commit to a Commissioner ordered him to be incarcerated without any due process of law. "It's your actions," that speak for not wanting to support your child said the commissioner to as she concluded the family court matter.

۰

.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CONFIDENTIAL State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

# COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

### Judge's Name:

Commissioner granted judgment against on for past child support and accrued interest in the amount of due process of law so much that he wasn't denied Commissioner afforded his rights stipulated on the commissioner's order to appear and pursuant to Arizona law. Upon her irrational explanation for why willfully failed to make support payments, Commissioner further violated civil rights by remanding him to the custody of the indefinitely and setting an unreasonable cash purge amount of Shockingly, this was the incident Commissioner ruled against with such impropriety. complaint for Commissioner conduct in mental health court.

delinquent on his child support obligation that began was prior. One of Commissioner findings in her minute entry was that 'has not paid toward his child support obligation for many years." Judge calculated the testimony of his earning potential, not actual earnings, child support based on before the decree of dissolution was final, and subsequently ordered not to file petitions, so had no opportunity to modify. During the hearing. Commissioner should have used the held that a month that his sister pays for his living expenses to pay the support obligation.

Commissioner examined about his ability and willingness to pay, limited most if not all of his answers to one word responses, and denied him any reasonable opportunity to testify or make a statement. Mental illness was one of reason s for his inability to pay, but Commissioner from presenting his prohibited medical records to verify his claim. Not only does Arizona law attord this right, stipulated it in her order to appear for the hearing. Oddly, Commissioner if he still had the mental illness, and after did ask said he didn't know, the commissioner interrupted with, "You still have it. I was a registered nurse." further denied his right to show evidence altogether. including denial letters of employment due to his criminal record for Interfering with the Judicial Proceedings, charges pressed by the opposing party.

One year, three months after unlawfully issuing a detention order to commit to a mental institution, Commissioner ordered him to be incarcerated without any due process of law. "It's your actions," that speak for not wanting to support your child said the commissioner to as she concluded the family court matter.

.

.