

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-264

Judge:	No. 1096414778A
Complainant:	No. 1096414778B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that by denying his motion to change attorneys a superior court judge violated his constitutional rights.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review of the complaint, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 14, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 14, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

OCT 09 2013

COMPLIANT

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT
1501 W. Washington St., Ste. 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Re:

Case No.

This compliant bring forth violation of Constitutional Rights and Due Process of law.

has violated defendant's Constitutional Rights and Due Process.

Civil Complaint Case:

has been filed against defendant's

For violations of defendant's Constitutional Rights in the above case number

Defendant's appeared in court re-quested/petition the court for change of Counsel because of Conflict of Interest has been created. therefore, defendant's Constitutional Rights are violated by The Tribunal.

Case NO: _____

The disregarded defendant's Complaint for Change of Counsel and Civil Complaint Pending in the District Court against defendant's Counsel. Created, Violation of defendant Constitutional Rights. caused a Conflict of Interest by denying defendant Change of Counsel on case NO _____

Defendant's appeal to The Commission on Judicial misconduct to intercede and assist in bringing Justice in this case. and defendant's Constitutional Rights are implemented accordingly to the law and rules by the U.S. Constitutional and The State of Arizona Constitutional Rights and AZ Rules of Professional Conduct.

The Defendant await the most urgent finding of this complaint.

I swear under Penalty of Perjury all information is true.

Signature: _____

Date: _____

CC: Defendant, _____