

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 13-266

---

Judge:	No. 1044414777A
Complainant:	No. 1044414777B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a municipal court hearing officer improperly overruled a motion for a continuance and for change of venue.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the hearing officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review of the complaint, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the hearing officer did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 14, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the hearing officer on November 14, 2013.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

**CONFIDENTIAL**

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**2013-268**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

WELL THE JUDGE SAID MY MOTIONS WERE NOT MATTERS  
OVER RULED MY ORAL REQUESTS MOTIONS FOR CONTINANCE  
CHANGE OF VENUE ANYMORE  
AND IN ALL THAT I HAVE OUT HAVING AN AZ JUDGE I WOULD SUSPECT  
THAT THE JUDGE WAS OUT OF BOUNDS-

THAN AGAIN THIS GUY'S NOTE IS TO FUCK ME OVER  
SO THE BITCH YOU WILL, SOMETHING

LAW IS DEEM TO BE ASSHOLE. THE ONES I CAN STAND  
ANYWAY, AS THE LAW IN US IS SEEM TO BE ITS OWN  
ALSO I DO NOT NECESSARILY THIS DEMOCRACY AS YOU CAN  
STILL FIND THAT THE USA DOES NOT ABIDE BY ITS WRITTEN  
CONSTITUTION I COUNT THIS AS A COMMUNIQUE WITH  
A DE FACTO MONARCHY SO WHATSOEVER IT IS THAT YOU  
FC BY-

ALSO PREVIOUS COMPLAINT WAS SENT VIA WEB FORM AND  
NOT ACKNOWLEDGED.

HOAAS DATE/

WHAT THIS COUNT MEANS OR AND WHAT  
IF I DO NOT ACCEPT YOUR POSITION