

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-268

Judge:	No. 1089414505A
Complainant:	No. 1089414505B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner demonstrated bias by refusing to consider her evidence or disclose the evidence against her.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 25, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the commissioner on November 25, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2013-268

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: _____

Judge's name: _____

Date: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Attach additional pages, as needed. Please describe in your own words what the judge said or did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. To help us understand your concern, be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places where the conduct occurred. Include only copies of original documents or court recordings that are relevant to your allegations. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

Court started around _____ on _____
 Judge _____ dismissed via court reporter who sat
 through the whole hearing.
 Judge _____ immediately tried to avoid even trying
 the case because I didn't want contact with Mrs
 After continuously re-questioning me if I wanted to
 continue he finally proceed with the case to
 try to allow me to justify the injustice.
 refused me to enter in evidence
 did not allow me to see evidence admitted
 by Mrs _____ and didn't allow either party
 to see evidence entered filed from dismissal
 being filed prior to the hearing on
 Mrs' _____ went before judge
 had it court ordered I was not allowed around her
 kids. On _____ that was over turned in an
 emergency hearing by _____ case
 Mrs _____ on _____ proceeded to abuse the court
 system knowing she would lose custody of her kids to
 me and my husband who she was sleeping with our
 whole marriage on _____
 was aware on _____ emergency
 custody papers were filed so in a desperate attempt
 to keep me from my husband (her ex) and the boys
 thought this would help her.
 ignored state laws statute 13-1408

was committing.

showed impartiality as a judge and didn't perform judicial duties without bias or prejudice from the minute this case started.

showed special treatment towards evidence and not allowing Defendant to see evidence and by allowing evidence and stating facts that were not even stated properly. example Mrs. [unclear] was called a home wrecker.

was nowhere on the evidence and neither was home wrecker it stated was a homewrecker. He willfully showed misconduct. He allowed a judgment that was dismissed by a family judge.

stated is not afraid of you and allowed a harassment injunction anyway????? further more, there are two boys put on the injunction and they have ~~not~~ not even been apart of the case.

AZ law harm or intimate danger has to be shown towards children to put them on a injunction against harassment or order of protection did not allow any evidence that proved this. went strictly off Mrs verbal word no factual evidence.

ignored a fellow judges
previous judgment went against
state law, showed improper judicial
 demeanor, failed a defendant the
right to be heard, profanity in courtroom
misrepresenting evidence and failed to
perform judicial duties without bias or
prejudice and was impartial as a judge
due to father
previously working in the court and her
brother being a police officer
previous officer.