

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-271

Judge:	No.100991081714788A
Complainant:	No.100991081714788B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge improperly ruled in a case involving close, personal friends, and that another superior court judge was biased, made incorrect rulings, and substantially delayed issuing the findings of fact and conclusions of law.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judges engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judges did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: February 3, 2014.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to
The complainant and the judges on
February 3, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 West Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, AZ 85007

To Whom It May Concern:

Enclosed is information I recently sent to the Honorable _____,
_____ and _____ Services, the Honorable
District of Arizona and the Honorable _____ Arizona

I felt it necessary to provide the Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct with the information enclosed. If I may be of any assistance regarding this information, including my complaint of "Judicial Misconduct", please do not hesitate to contact me.

Respectfully,

Judicial Misconduct

The following factual information describes improper judicial conduct, pre-determined bias favoring the _____ and a failure to adjudicate the law fairly.

1. Presiding _____ County Superior Court Judge, The Honorable _____ issued a Temporary Restraining Order allowing the _____ “unconditional use of Refuge Owned Roadways.” This presented a huge problem for the _____. As soon as _____ issued the TRO, he immediately “rescued himself” from further involvement in the lawsuit. This occurred after it was disclosed that Judge _____ was a long-time “close personal friend” of the _____ Judge _____ should have never been involved in any court proceedings because of this “conflict of interest” and certainly shouldn’t have issued the TRO favoring his close personal friends, the _____. This “conflict of interest” is documented by an email and court transcripts.
2. During the trial, the Honorable _____ refused to find _____ (investor/close personal friend) guilty of perjury. It was clearly evident to all of those in court attendance that he perjured himself. Trial transcripts verify the perjury and due to the _____ influence and close personal friendship with the judiciary” the perjury was ignored.
3. If one examines trial transcripts, witness testimony, motion hearing transcripts/rulings and other court proceedings, it is clearly evident that a favorable bias exists and is afforded to the _____ because of their _____ relationship to the judiciary.” It is also clearly evident that there is a “strong prejudice” against all parties litigating against the Aldridges.
4. During my trial testimony I notified the court/Judge _____ that I had been tampered with, intimidated and threatened as a witness. He took no actions regarding this “serious offense” thus allowing “my civil rights” to be violated. Trial transcripts verify the “assault” on me regarding my trial testimony given. Those individuals who threatened, tampered with, and intimidated me should have been criminally charged, as clear and convincing evidence verifies these acts against me.
5. The jury in the case returned a verdict which overall favored the _____. However Judge _____ has not issued any “Findings of Fact (FOF)” or “Conclusions of Law (COL)” regarding the jury’s decisions. The judge refuses to issue these rulings. At the time of this correspondence being prepared, the judge cancelled the court session, once again refusing to issue the jury’s decisions and moved the court hearing to _____. Having the Refuge _____ wait _____ for the FOFs and COLs is “highly irregular” and “improper.” This has allowed the _____ more time to operate their _____ when the jury decided it should be torn down. Once again, the _____ influence with Judge _____ has him favoring the _____ Judge _____ cancellation of the _____ court session was because he has been unable to get the court transcripts completed. This excuse is “incredulous” and reveals his “bias” against the Refuge _____ and his “improper favoritism” of the _____. The Arizona Commission of Judicial Conduct needs to be made aware of this “judicial misconduct.” I have not been allowed to pursue the filing of my complaint with Arizona Attorney General’s office (civil rights/witness tampering) because no trial transcript is available after _____ of waiting.

Threatening/Tampering/Intimidating/Retaliation of a Witness

On numerous occasions before my trial testimony was to be given, I was subjected to acts of Extortion, Bribery, verbal threats, acts of intimidation, physical threats and instances of being followed/stalked and accused of committing criminal acts which were fabricated. Trial Exhibits, emails, and witness testimony verify these aforementioned acts.

a close personal friend of the and member repeatedly pressured me to go and work for the so that I would not testify against them at trial. I would be paid more than my current position with the Mr said he could arrange this with the . If I did not accept the offer, then I should leave my position at the Refuge (closing my business) and leave Arizona. If I refused this option, then I'd best be prepared to have my personal/business reputations destroyed and be arrested and jailed on criminal charges. Mr. reminded me (as other associates have) that the have "great power and influence with the County Judiciary (the Honorable and the Honorable and the Department. Mr. assured me that because I am a "nobody and am a man of little means (money)" that I would not be able to withstand such violent personal attacks of the I chose to remain employed by the and refused to leave the state of

The threats, intimidation, criminal accusations and attacks on my reputation and character began shortly after my refusals. Listed below are brief descriptions of these attacks by the and their associates.

1. On at Mr sent a written email to my superiors claiming I "criminally harassed" a resident whose husband received a "large architectural contract" from the for the These individuals, and are also members of the RGCC. This "fabricated allegation" was disproved by Mrs. Selberg herself. Evidence verified that the allegation was false and fabricated.
2. On 1/18/13 I received three "harassing and intimidating" phone calls from Mr. beginning at and ending at (Check cell phone or office phone records for verification). I was threatened with arrest and incarceration/jail for a "fabricated incident" whereby I had allegedly committed a criminal act(s) of harassment and intimidation. Mr was extremely vicious and threatening when making these calls. Mr cell phone number is He, as in the past, threatened that he knows the judge and that I will be "put out of business" if I keep doing my job.
3. On at sent a written email to my superiors claiming that I issued a "criminal trespassing advisement" to a couple who were guests of the This alleged misconduct was proven to have been fabricated by to get me terminated. This email was a "Trial Exhibit" and Mrs. was proven as having lied and fabricated the incident, as I was not even in the state of Arizona at the time, let alone at the where the incident had "supposedly taken place." On at sent an email to my superiors saying she "assumed it was
4. On Mrs. claimed in a written email to my superiors that I "accosted two of her customers/guests, that I was "rude and aggressive" and that I was "criminally trespassing" on their property when this fabricated incident took place. Mrs. "backed off her claim" when presented with and I possessed proving that she once again fabricated this criminality and alleged misconduct I supposedly committed.

Threatening/Tampering/Intimidating/Retaliation of a Witness

5. On _____ at _____ Mr _____ Legal Counsel for the _____ sent a written email "falsely accusing" me of "criminally harassing and interrogating _____ customers." Furthermore, _____ was explicit that he would go to the _____ County Judiciary (Judges _____ - the _____ personal friends) and file charges concerning my actions. I would estimate this act of threatening to have me arrested and jailed because of Mr. _____ influence with the _____ Judiciary (Judges _____ has occurred nearly separate times up to the morning of my trial testimony.

6 _____ at _____ A.M. Mr. _____ who has invested a large sum of money in the _____ project, is a _____ and a club member (verified by court trial testimony, bank records, and corporate documents) began an "orchestrated personal assault" upon me. On this date Mr _____ accused me of "criminally harassing" an associate, stated I am not welcome in the _____ that I should leave the community, people don't trust me anymore and that I am guilty of "professional misconduct." These attacks continued on further and were threatening and intimidating, causing me great duress and anguish.

7. On _____ I reported to the _____ Deputies in the area that I was followed/stalked by a vehicle to my private residence outside of the _____. The individual(s) this vehicle returned to my residence several times, and attempted entry (late at night) only to be "scared away" by my _____. This vehicle was seen parked in the _____ parking lot prior to its following/stalking me. I do not know this vehicle or its occupants.

8. On _____ at _____ Mr. _____ approached me in an aggressive, threatening, intimidating and combative manner. He claimed that I was "harassing" the _____ their employees and a home builder who is a friend of the _____. He reiterated that I should leave the _____ as I no longer have the community's support, NOT TESTIFY AT THE UPCOMING TRIAL and he and other _____ supporters were going to find a "WAY TO GET ME." At this point I began to fear what he, other Aldridge supporters and the _____ were going to do to me. This can be verified by _____ and _____, who recently purchased a home right behind where my office was located at the time. _____ they came to see as they heard _____ yelling at me and were FEARFUL OF MY SAFETY.

9. On _____ once again made an in-person contact with me. He aggressively attacked my performance, parking issues, storage lot rules, criticized _____ and accused me of lying. He also stated "I wouldn't be around here much longer, especially after the upcoming trial." I have a witness, _____ who will verify that _____ admitted to him and stated that he was trying to "rattle and intimidate me," due to my upcoming trial witness testimony.

10. On or about _____ Mr _____ contacted me and said he was going to "get me out of testifying at the trial." He later sent me a text saying that he had spoken with the _____ and the _____ Counsel(s) and that I wouldn't have to appear in court as a trial witness. This text was actually used as a "trial exhibit." He followed this up by advising me to leave the state of Arizona and stay away as the trial proceeded. I contacted my superiors and HOA Legal Council and thanked them for relieving me from testifying. They were "astounded" at this act of "tampering with a witness."

Threatening/Tampering/Intimidating/Retaliation of a Witness

11. On _____ I was contacted by _____ and he informed me that he had met with Mr. _____ over the weekend, even having drinks with him. On this morning before I was to leave for court trial witness testimony, it was suggested that I could make _____ monthly if I would work for and assist th _____. This would also allow me the opportunity not to testify. I declined this offer and reported it to my superiors and _____

I have been subjected to bribery, extortion, threatened, intimidated, tampered with and being followed/stalked. I notified the court (Judge _____ while on the witness stand, seeking protection from these acts, however nothing was done by the judge. Trial transcripts verify this. My "civil rights" have been violated and I respectfully request an investigation begin immediately.