

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-296

Judges:	No. 103521067811480A
Complainant:	No. 103521067811480B

ORDER

The complainant alleged two superior court judges improperly exercised jurisdiction over him.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judges engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judges did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings or other alleged legal errors. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 18, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judges on December 18, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

From:

Arizona State Prison Complex

P.O. Box
, Az.

To: Supreme Court of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
State Courts Building
Administrative Office of Courts
Director
1501 W. Washington, 4th Fl.
Phoenix, Az. 85007

Comes now the Lawful Freeman,
, herein after referred to as Complainant,
And the Secured Party Creditor - Sovereign and
authorized representative of
Debtor. Making a Complaint against
Judges and Attorney Generals.

Case Numbers
and

County -

This Complaint is being brought under Rule 6(a)
Filing of Complaint of the Rules of Procedure
for the Commission on Judicial Conduct, Complainant
could not find a format to use in making this

Complaint, so he is doing it this way.

Complainant is stating Judges
and plus present Attorney
and old

are holding Complainant in prison in the
Arizona Department of Corrections without jurisdiction
under kidnap since

This is in violation of Rule 4 (c) (1) Willful
misconduct in office; (2) Willful and persistent
failure to perform judicial duties; (4) Conduct
prejudicial to the administration of justice, including
a violation of the code, that brings the judicial office
into disrepute.

Complainant got confirmation of his statement
that he is held in prison without jurisdiction under
kidnap from Assistant

using court documents in Federal Court.
Complainant stated 21 statements. Opposing Attorney
acknowledged them and does not dispute of
them. Complainant restated those statements
stating they stand as fact. Opposing Attorney does
not dispute the statement the statements stand as
fact. This confirms Complainant is held in prison without
jurisdiction under kidnap. It also confirms the
above named Attorney and Judges are guilty
of kidnap and attempted fraud.

2013-298

This Complaint is supported by 1) Affidavit of Complainant, 2) Motion To Transfer Record, And Notices To Court - where statements were made, plus cover sheet, 3) Answer to Petition For Writ of Habeas Corpus - acknowledgement of statements page 14, 4) and Reply to Respondents Response To Writ of Habeas Corpus - statements restated and stated they stand as fact, plus cover sheet.

In reading the Rules Complainant saw penalties can be brought to the judges. Complainant did not see any provision for correcting the situation Complainant is in. Namely, being held in prison without jurisdiction under kidnap, without any remedy in sight. There must be a way to provide remedy to Complainant.

To gain remedy Complainant is not only using this method. But, has contacted the FBI. And is planning to use this same method with the Federal Judge involved. Possible future action, U.S. Attorney and Complainant's family contacting FBI and U.S. Attorney in

This is an actual verified ongoing crime that needs to be stopped.

Submitted this day of

, Lawful Man

without prejudice

, Secured Party Creditor

Authorized Representative in behalf of

Debtor, Ens Legis