

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-311

Judge:	No. 100511097713509A
Complainant:	No. 100511097713509B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge violated his constitutional rights and improperly denied his motion to remand his case to the grand jury for a redetermination of probable cause. He also alleged a superior court commissioner had a conflict of interest due to her husband serving as a member of the county auto/drug task force.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judicial officers engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

Beyond the fact that the complaint about the superior court judge dates back to 2008 and is therefore stale, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officers did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 27, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judicial officers on December 27, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

2013-311

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Nan

____ **Judge's Name**

Inst _____ same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

DIV 2

was my judge for criminal matter when the remand was filed he reviewed the case file and decided no due process violations were there. Lets look at the Evidence then decide

1. that there was no physical evidence apud indictment
2. that there was no motive
3. photo line up constituted a violation of 6th and 14th Amendment Rights. letter your attorney feels constitutional issues lie within your case please feel free to have him contact us. my attorney Refused.
4. it was coerced into taking a plea by my attorney showing the prosecutor A.P.S.



COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ **Judge's Name** _____
Instructor: _____ of the same size to file
what you _____ have constitutes judicial
names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission unders
be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper
only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

matter
evidence. was not judge for criminal
let's look at the

1. Due process violation, photo lineups
2. warrant not being executed, misdemeanor
or warrant with felony case # and an
excessive bond
3. Constitutional violation under 6th
and 14th Amendment. to support of
4. Identifiers codes not matching on
photo line-ups.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY



COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Nam

Judge's Na

Instr

the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Drug task force for husband is Auto County, AZ

Evidence that supports judicial conduct.

1. Evaluation for probation/conflict of interest
2. Petition to extend probation, consequence to conflict of interest
3. Defendant does not waive conflict due to the fact the defendant didn't know
Husband was Auto
Dr 1-cv-10000 was granted probation
on 12