

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 13-313

---

Judge:	No. 1096714612A
Complainant:	No. 1096714612B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased against him because of the judge's own life experience and has not properly credited him for time served while waiting to be sentenced on a probation violation.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 27, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 27, 2013.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

NOV 22 2013

2013 313

To Whom it may concern,

I AM WRITING TO YOU FROM THE COUNTY JAIL IN BECAUSE I AM LOOKING FOR HELP REGARDING THE JUDGE IN MY CASE, NAME IS AND THE CASE # IS MY NAME IS I

REALLY DON'T KNOW WHO TO APPEAL TO FOR HELP WITH THIS MATTER SO I AM HOPING YOU WILL BE ABLE TO ASSIST ME WITH THIS.

HERE IS A BRIEF BACKGROUND OF MY CASE. ON I ALSO GOT TO AN AGG ASSAULT CHARGE AT THE MY LAWYER I WAS NOT GUILTY THE VICTIM WAS GETTING READY TO HIT ME AND I ONLY TACKLED HIM, NOBODY WAS INJURED. MY LAWYER PROMISED ME JAIL AND YEARS PROBATION, I GOT AND IN YEARS PROBATION.

SO NOW COMES THE ISSUE REGARDING MY CASE. WHEN I WENT TO COURT ON GUILTY THE DAYS HAD BEEN CHANGED TO UNLESS I WENT TO AN

FACILITY, SO HERE'S THE PROBLEM. THIS CASE HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH ALCOHOL! NOBODY INVOLVED HAD BEEN DRINKING ANYTHING. I TRIED TO TELL MY LAWYER THIS BUT HE ONLY SAID, "THEY THINK IT DOES." SO NEEDS TO SAY SINCE I COULDN'T AFFORD